



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Eighteenth day of January Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Ms.Justice V.M.VELUMANI

CRL OP (MD) Nos.21091 and 21453 of 2015

1 MOHANAN
2 SUGANDHI
3 KALAI SANTHI
4 SAHAYA MALLIKA @ MALLIKA

..PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 2 TO 5
in CRL OP (MD) No.21091 of 2015

RAJKUMAR

..PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.1
in CRL OP (MD) No.21453 of 2015

Vs.

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
KANYAKUMARI,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.
CR.NO. 64/15

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT
in Both the Petitions

For Petitioners
in Both the Petitions : M/S A.HAJA MOHIDEEN Advocate


For Respondent
in Both the Petitions : MR.K.ANBARASAN,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor
in Both the Petitions : MR.P.PARANTHAMAN, Advocate


PETITIONS FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.
ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 5, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 419, 465 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.64 of 2015 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the property in question belonged to the petitioners / A1 to A5 and the petitioners / A2 to A5 appointed A1 as their power agent to deal with the property. A1 for himself and on behalf of A2 to A5 entered into an agreement of sale on 26.08.2010 with defacto complainant to sell the property for a total sale consideration of Rs.1,00,000/- and received Rs.75,000/- as



7. Considering the fact that the alleged impersonation has taken place in the year 2012 and that the complaint was given in the year 2015 and that the petitioners 2 to 5 have already appointed Al rices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/ as their power agent to deal with the property owned by the A2 to A5, the interim anticipatory bail already granted to the petitioners in



Crl.O.P(MD).. No.21091 of 2015 dated 04.11.2015 is made absolute. However the first petitioner / A2 in Crl.O.P(MD).No.21091 of 2015 alone shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 am until further orders. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. Scrupulously.

WEB COPY

8. Considering the nature of serious allegations made against the petitioner / A1, the petition in Crl.O.P(MD).No.21453 of 2015 is dismissed.

sd/-
18/01/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II
KULITHURAI

2 -DO- THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT AT NAGERCOIL.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
KANYAKUMARI, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+2CC to M/S A.HAJA MOHIDEEN Advocate SR.Nos.2556 and 2557
+2CC to MR.P.PARANTHAMAN, Advocate Sr.Nos.2836 and 2837

akm/04.02.2016/ 3p- 9c/jgb/dp/SAR-I

ORDER
IN

CRL OP(MD) Nos.21091 and 21453 of 2015
Date :18/01/2016