



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Ninth day of June Two Thousand Sixteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble The CHIEF JUSTICE
and

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice NOOTY. RAMAMOHANA RAO

CMP(MD) No.5609 of 2016
IN
WA(MD) No.938 of 2016

1 THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY
BOARD, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN, ANNASALAI,
CHENNAI-02.

2 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD, NAGERCOIL,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

3 THE JUNIOR ENGINEER,
(DISTRIBUTION) TAMILNADU ELECTRICITY BOARD,
KALIYAKKAVILAI, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

... APPELLANTS/APPELLANTS/RESPONDENTS 2 to 4
Vs

1 ZAINULABDEEN @ JAYANULADEEN,
2 REHMATH BEEVI, ... RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER
3 THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU,
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY,
FORT.ST.GEORGE, CHENNAI-09.
...1st RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT


Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein
and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased
to stay the operation of the impugned order dated 16.12.2014 passed
in W.P(MD) No.10140 of 2008 and thus render justice.

Prayer in WA(MD). 938/ 2016 :

To prefer this memorandum of Appeal as against the Order
passed by this court in W.P(MD) No. 10140/2008 dated 16.12.2014 on
the following among other

Prayer in WP(MD). 10140/ 2008 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus,
calling for the records of the 4th respondent pertaining to his
order in Ka.No.U.Po/Vi/Ka.Vilai/Ka.Thani/No. 375/08-09 dated
06/09/08 on his file, quash the same directing the respondents to
pay the petitioners an amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakh)
with interest at the rate of twelve per cent per annum thereon for



the period from 31/10/2002 till the date of payment , for the accidental death of the petitioners son Syed Mohammed, on 31/10/2002, within a time to be fixed by this Honourable Court.

ORDER : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.A.U.RAMANATHAN, Advocate for the petitioner, the court made the following order:-

(Order of the court was made by the Hon'ble The Chief Justice)

Learned counsel for the appellants states that the appellants are willing to pay as sum of Rs.2,00,000/- to the respondents and as the controversy confines to the balance amount of Rs.1,50,000/- the appellants are ready to deposit the same before this court.

2.In view of the aforesaid stand and since that amount is stated not to have been paid, it is directed that a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- should be paid to the respondents 1 and 2, within a period of fifteen days from today and the balance amount of Rs.1,50,000/- be deposited in court, to be kept in a fixed deposit, initially, for a period of 91 days and to be renewed periodically, so that the accrued interest be available for the benefit of the succeeding party.

3.The application stands disposed of.

sd/-

09/06/2016

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE CHAIRMAN,
THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD, ANNASALAI, CHENNAI-02.

2 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD, NAGERCOIL,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

3 THE JUNIOR ENGINEER,
(DISTRIBUTION) TAMILNADU ELECTRICITY BOARD,
KALIYAKKAVILAI, KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT.

+1. C.C. to M/S.A.U.RAMANATHAN Advocate SR.No.29211

sm:SKS-RR:SAR III:13.06.2016:3P/5C

ORDER
IN
CMP(MD) No.5609 of 2016
IN
WA(MD) No.938 of 2016
Date :09/06/2016