

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 21.11.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH

Writ Petition Nos.40788 and 40789 of 2016

R.Krishnan ... Petitioner in W.P.No.40788/2016

R.Thangamani ... Petitioner in W.P.No.40789/2016

Vs.

Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Salem) Ltd.
Rep by its Managing Director
12 Ramakrishna Road
Salem 7 ... Respondent in both W.Ps.

Prayer in W.P.No.40788/2016: Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs. 4,77,225/- towards gratuity; a sum of Rs. 5,39,471/- towards P.F.; a sum of Rs. 14,434/- towards earned leave salary for 13.5 days; a sum of Rs. 5,500/- towards IRT; a sum of Rs.6000/- towards ERBS amount for 6 months; a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- Social Welfare Fund; family benefit fund also to be paid and award costs

Prayer in W.P.No.40789/2016: Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the petitioner a sum of Rs. 6,69,600/- towards gratuity; a sum of Rs. 3,60,762/- towards P.F.; a sum of Rs. 5,500/- towards IRT; a sum of Rs.6000/- towards ERBS amount for 6 months; a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- Social Welfare Fund; family benefit fund also to be paid and award costs.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Krishnaswamy
in both W.Ps.

For Respondents : Mr.R.K.Gandhi
in both W.Ps.

COMMON ORDER

By consent, the main writ petitions themselves are taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioners joined the services of the Transport Corporation and they retired from service long before. The grievance of the petitioners is that though they retired from service long before, till date, they were not paid with the retirement benefits. In this regard, the petitioners submitted representations before the respondent, on various dates. However, till date, there was no response. Aggrieved against the same, the present Writ Petitions have been filed.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned counsel, who has taken notice on behalf of the respondent.

4. The issue involved in the present writ petitions is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of the Madurai Bench of this Court dated 12.6.2015 made in W.A.(MD) Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 (K.Rajendran & Others vs. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Limited, rep. by its Managing Director, Madurai and others).

5. Following the said judgment, without going into the merits of the matter, the respondent is directed to disburse the aforementioned retiral benefits to the petitioners herein, in twelve equal monthly instalments, in the light of the common judgment passed by this Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 (K.Rajendran & Others vs. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Limited, rep. by its Managing Director, Madurai and others), dated 12.06.2015. It is also made clear that the first instalment shall commence from 1.2.2017.

6. With the above direction, both the writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sbi


To

The Managing Director
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
(Salem) Limited
No.12 Ramakrishna Road
Salem-636 007.

+1cc to Mr.V.Ajoy Khose, Advocate, S.R.No.67260

W.P.Nos.40788 and 40789 of 2016

NR(CO)
CA(30/11/2016)



WEB COPY