

Crl.O.P.No.9032 of 2016

K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.

The petitioners, who are arrayed as A2 to A4, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294[b], 323, 332, 307 and 506(i) IPC, in Crime No.110 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the de facto complainant and attacked him and caused injuries.

3.Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.Learned Government Advocate [Criminal side] appearing for the respondent would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate No, Gingee and on each of them executing a bond for a sum of

K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.

kkd

Rs.10,000/- [Rupees Ten Thousand only] with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7.The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

25.04.2016

kkd

Crl.O.P.No.9032 of 2016