

CRL.O.P.No.8880 of 2016**S.VAIDYANATHAN,J.**

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 417, 420, 376, 294 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.12 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioner has come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were working in the same company. The petitioner had fallen in love with the defacto complainant. It is further stated that the petitioner by making false promise, had physical relationship with her and subsequently he refused to marry her. Hence, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint with the respondent police against the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner with a good intention, has helped the defacto complainant at the time of her mother's death and that he did not love the defacto complainant and that she is elder to the petitioner. He further submitted that he is no way connected with the alleged offence.

5. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) would submit that by making false promise, the petitioner had sexual relationship with the complainant and subsequently, refused to marry her.

6. A perusal of the records shows a copy of the conversation between the petitioner and defacto complainant through WhatsApp and considering the fact that the petitioner had carnal copulation with her and the offence

S.VAIDYANATHAN,J.

kal

alleged against the petitioner is grave in nature, this Court is of the view that letting out the petitioner on anticipatory bail will definitely hinder the process of investigation. Hence, I find no reason to grant the relief sought by the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

14.06.2016

kal

CRL.O.P.No.8880 of 2016