

Crl.O.P.No.8778 of 2016**K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.**

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.493 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner is alleged to have abused and intimidated the defacto complainant.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent submitted that no one sustained injuries and the petitioner had no bad antecedents.

5.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.

vs

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance before the learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate, Saidapet and on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders for interrogation. The petitioner shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

20.04.2016

vs

Crl.O.P.No.8778 of 2016