

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.02.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

W.P.Nos.3894 to 3903 of 2016

G.Subramani	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3894/16
R. Saritha	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3895/16
G. Parvathi	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3896/16
S. Valli	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3897/16
A.J. Karunakaran	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3898/16
A. Sagayamary	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3899/16
R. Mari	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3900/16
S. Sabarinathan	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3901/16
S. Babu	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3902/16
M. Dhanasekaran	..	Petitioner	in W.P.3903/16

v.

1 The Transport Commissioner
State Transport Authority
Chepauk Chennai- 600 005.I Respondent in all WPs

2 The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (North East)
Chennai2nd Respondent in W.P.3894
to 3897/16

The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (North)
Chennai2nd Respondent in W.P.3898
and 3899/16

The Regional Transport Officer
Tambaram, Chennai2nd Respondent in W.P.3900
and 3901/16

The Regional Transport Officer
Meenambakkam
Chennai2nd Respondent in
W.P.3902 of 2016

The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (west) Chennai2nd Respondent in
W.P.3903 of 2016

Common prayer in all WPS: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 2nd respondent to receive the application of the petitioner dated 05.10.2015 in form CCPA with the prescribed fee for the grant of a Autorickshaw permit and to consider the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Govindaraman
For Respondents : Mr.M.S.Ramesh
Addl. Govt. Pleader

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners have filed the above writ petitions to issue a writ of Mandamus, to direct the second respondent concerned to receive the respective applications of the petitioners dated 05.10.2015 in form CCPA with the prescribed fee for the grant of a Autorickshaw permit and to consider the same.

2. Mr.M.S.Ramesh, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents and submitted that the second respondent concerned may be directed to consider the petitioners' application in accordance with law.

3. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and taking into consideration the limited prayer sought for by the petitioners, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, I direct the second respondent concerned to receive the applications of the petitioners dated 05.10.2015 and consider the same and pass appropriate orders on the respective applications of the petitioners for grant of Autorickshaw permit, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With this observation, the writ petitions are disposed of.
No costs.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar (CS V)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

Rj

To

- 1 The Transport Commissioner
State Transport Authority
Chepauk Chennai- 600 005.
- 2 The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (North East)
Chennai

3.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (North)
Chennai

4.The Regional Transport Officer
Tambaram, Chennai

5. The Regional Transport Officer
Meenambakkam
Chennai

6he Regional Transport Officer
Chennai (west) Chennai

10 ccs to M/s. S. Govindaraman, Advocate, Sr. 7061
1 cc t Government Pleader, Sr. 7204

W.P.Nos.3894 to 3903 of 2016

AK (CO)
kk 11/2



WEB COPY