

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

RESERVED ON: 08.06.2016

PRONOUNCED ON: 05.07.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.N. PRAKASH

CrI.O.P. No. 7328 of 2016

P. Chandira

... Petitioner

vs.

1 The Inspector of Police
Kadampuliyur

2 Saravanan
Divisional Engineer (H)
Quality Control
Villupuram ... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C. to direct the first respondent to register the complaint dated 22.12.2014 by calling for the records from the second respondent.

For petitioner Mrs. P. Chandira - Party-in-person
For R1 Mr. C. Emalias
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to direct the first respondent to register the complaint dated 22.12.2014 by calling for the records from the second respondent.

2 Heard the petitioner/party-in-person and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first respondent State.

3 At the threshold, it is pertinent to point out that this is the second round of litigation by this petitioner-in-person for the same cause of action.

4 It is seen that the petitioner has lodged a complaint on 06.07.2014 with the Inspector of Police, Kadampuliyur Police Station, Cuddalore District, in which, a petition enquiry was conducted in C.S.R. No.261 of 2014. In her complaint, she has made wild and reckless allegations against the officers of the NABARD and Rural Roads. She followed it up with the complaint

dated 11.10.2014 before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Panruti in C.M.P. No.6942 of 2014 on 15.10.2014. In connection with the aforesaid two complaints, she filed Crl.O.P. Nos.1195 and 6854 of 2015 for a direction to the Vigilance Department or Central Bureau of Investigation to investigate into her complaints. According to the petitioner, she is working as Assistant Engineer in NABARD and Rural Roads, Panruti. It is true that a departmental enquiry is pending against the petitioner, which she has admitted even in this petition.

5 In Crl.O.P. Nos.1195 and 6854 of 2015, this Court passed the following order on 17.04.2015:

"4. The petitioner submitted that the respondent police have conducted a perfunctory investigation on her complaint and she sought for transfer of investigation to the some other agency. The petitioner has made wild allegations against the investigating agency and also against all the officials of the Department concerned. The petitioner filed Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.6942 of 2014 before the Judicial Magistrate, Panruti, as a private complaint for a direction to the police to conduct investigation under 156(3) Cr.C.P.C.

5. Even before the Learned Judicial Magistrate, the respondent police filed a detailed report. The petitioner was informed on 13.03.2015 by the Learned Judicial Magistrate II, Panruti about the action which was taken by the Inspector of Police on her complaint. Notwithstanding that she has approached this Court with these two petitions which are truly frivolous in nature. It appears that this petitioner, as a party in person has been harassing the filing section and causing lot of trouble to the officials in this Court registry.

6. The learned Judicial Magistrate has passed a final order in Crl.M.P.No.6942 of 2014 on 17.03.2014 closing the complaint of the petitioner, by accepting the report of the investigating officer. Therefore, it is clear that this petitioner wants to safeguard herself from disciplinary action and that is why she has been resorting to this sort of intimidating tactics by filing such petitions by arraying 25 persons as respondents including the Director of Prosecution, Cuddalore and the Deputy Secretary, Finance Department, Government of Tamil Nadu. Hence, these petitions deserves to be dismissed with exemplary costs.

7. These petitions are dismissed accordingly and the petitioner is directed to pay cost of Rs.10,000/- to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

6 Despite the aforesaid order, the petitioner has filed this petition for similar relief by making allegations against the learned Additional Public Prosecutor who appeared before this Court in the earlier petitions.

7 This petition by itself is devoid of minimum particulars inasmuch as the petitioner has not even disclosed the fact that the earlier petitions in Crl.O.P. Nos.1195 and 6854 of 2015 were dismissed by this Court on 17.04.2015 with costs of Rs.10,000/-, which, she has not paid till date.

8 That apart, it is reported by the Registry that this petitioner continuously harasses the Registry officials by insisting upon them to number whatever she files. Even in the typed set of papers, she has not enclosed a copy of the order passed by this Court in Crl.O.P. Nos.1195 and 6854 of 2015.

In the result, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as being devoid of merits with further costs of Rs.10,000/- payable by the petitioner to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority within a period of two weeks. It is made clear that if the petitioner files any further petition on the same cause of action, the Registry shall not number the same without the leave of the Court.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS VII)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

cad
To


WEB COPY

- 1 The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Panruti.
- 2 The Inspector of Police,
Kadampuliyur,
Cuddalore District.

- 3 The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.
- 4 The Secretary,
TamilNadu State Legal Services Authority,
Chennai.
- 5 The Section Officer,
Criminal Section,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.7328 of 2016

Msm[co]
srg 26/07/2016



WEB COPY