IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 02.11.2016
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH
Writ Petition Nos.38038 to 38046 of 2016

T.Karunanidhi ...Petitioner in WP.38038/16
B.Krishnan ...Petitioner in WP.38039/16
K.Devarajan ...Petitioner in WP.38040/16
R.Gunasekar ...Petitioner in WP.38041/16
R.Raju ...Petitioner in WP.38042/16
C.Krishnasamy ...Petitioner in WP.38043/16
K.Veluswamy ...Petitioner in WP.38044/16
M.Prabhakar ...Petitioner in WP.38045/16
V.R.Velu ...Petitioner in WP.38046/16

vs.

Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-43, rep. By its
Managing Director. ... Respondent in all WPs

Writ petition No.38038 to 38046 of 2016 has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a Writ of mandamus directing the Respondent to pay the petitioner all his retirement benefits including Gratuity, Leave Salary Amount and all other benefits with interest at the rate of 18% per annum within the time frame that may be stipulated by this Court and to grant him the interest at the rate of 18% per annum for the belated settlement of his eligible benefits from the date of retirement to till the date of settlement by the respondent within the time frame that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rajendiran
in all the writ petitions

For Respondent : Mr.S.Sairaman,
in all the writ petitions.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

COMMON ORDER

By consent, the main writ petitions themselves are taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioners joined the services of the Transport Corporation and they retired from service long before. The grievance of the petitioners is that though they retired from service long before, till date, they were not paid with the retirement benefits. In this regard, the petitioners submitted representations before the respondents, on various dates. However, till date, there was no response. Aggrieved against the same, the present Writ Petitions have been filed.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned counsel, who has taken notice on behalf of the respondent.

4. The issue involved in the present writ petitions is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of the Madurai Bench of this Court dated 12.6.2015 made in W.A.(MD) Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 (K.Rajendran & Others vs. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Limited, rep. by its Managing Director, Madurai and others).

5. Following the said judgment, without going into the merits of the matter, the respondent is directed to disburse the aforementioned retiral benefits to the petitioners herein, in twelve equal monthly instalments, in the light of the common judgment passed by this Court in W.A.(MD) Nos.383 to 457 of 2015 (K.Rajendran & Others vs. The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai) Limited, rep. by its Managing Director, Madurai and others), dated 12.06.2015. It is also made clear that the first instalment shall commence from 1.2.2017.

6. With the above direction, all the writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

sbi

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

To

1 The Managing Director
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation
Ltd.,
37, Mettupalayam Road,
Coimbatore-43

+9 ccs to Mr.A.Rajendiran Advocate sr 61995
+1 cc to Mr.S.Sairaman Advocate sr 62595

W.P.Nos.38038 to
38046 of 2016

ssk(co)
aa28/11/2016

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADRAS
सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/