

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.01.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH

Crl.O.P.No.685 of 2016

1.Mrs.Queeny & Queen Merry
2.Mr.Sathiya Nathan
3.Mr.Lazar
4.Mrs.Jesitha
5.Mr.Raji
6.Mr.Ramalingam
7.Mr.Vashanth
8.Mr.Nazeer Basha ... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State rep. by
Inspector of Police,
R-11, Royala Nagar Police Station,
Ramapuram, Chennai-89. ...1st Respondent/
Complainant

2.Mrs.Gomathi ...2nd Respondent/
Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., praying to call for the records and quash the F.I.R. filed in Cr.No.531 of 2014 on the file of the 1st respondent against the petitioners.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Anandraj

For Respondents : Mr.C.Emalias, APP (For R1)
Mr.M.Anandan (For R2)

* * * * *

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners praying to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.531 of 2014 on the file of the 1st respondent-Police. The petitioner herein is the first accused in this case.

2.The 2nd respondent/defacto-complainant lodged the complaint as against the petitioners stating that she is the tenant under the 1st petitioner; that she is running fruit shop

at No.4/18, Valluvar Salai, Ramapuram Chennai and the said property belongs to the petitioner. On 08.06.2014, the petitioners along with rowdy elements entered into the shop of the defacto-complainant and threw out the materials outside and damaged the materials. When the same was questioned by the defacto-complainant, they threatened the petitioner with dire consequences. On the basis of the complaint lodged by the defacto-complaint, a case in Crime No.531 of 2014 under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 324, 427, 447, & 506(ii) IPC. Now, the petitioners have come forward with the present petition seeking to quash the complaint.

3.Today, when the matter is taken up for consideration, the petitioners and the defacto-complainant are present. It is represented by both sides that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. A consent affidavit has been filed by the 2nd respondent/defacto-complainant stating that the matter has been amicably settled and she has not objection to quash the impugned criminal proceedings.

4.Heard both sides and and perused the materials available on record.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, I am of the opinion that the First Information Report in Crime No.531 of 2014 pending on the file of the 1st respondent-Police, is liable to be quashed and accordingly, the same is quashed.

In fine, the criminal original petition is allowed.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

ssv

To,
1.The Inspector of Police,
R-11, Royala Nagar Police Station,
Ramapuram, Chennai-89.


WEB COPY

2.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Madras.

+1 cc to Mr.M.Anandraj Advocate vide sr.4096

Cr1.O.P.No.685 of 2016

aa19/02/2016



WEB COPY