

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 11.04.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R. MALA

CRL.O.P.No.5326 of 2016

M/s. Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited,
having its circle office at A1 Tower, 8th Floor,
89-90, Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore, Chennai - 600 004.
Rep. by its Legal Manager D.Kalaimani ... Petitioner

. Vs .

The Inspector of police,
SIPCOT Police Station,
Vellore District. ... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of
the Code of Criminal Procedure, praying to direct the
respondent herein to provide police protection to the
petitioner's company to erect the Transmission Tower at Door
No.131/3, Survey No.72/4, Vanapadi Village, Wallajah Taluk,
Vellore District - 632 404.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.G.Shankar

For Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner has come forward with this petition
seeking for a direction, directing the respondent herein to
provide police protection to the petitioner's company to erect
the Transmission Tower at Door No.131/3, Survey No.72/4,
Vanapadi Village, Wallajah Taluk, Vellore District - 632 404.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit
that the petitioner's company is incorporated and registered
under the provisions of Indian Companies Act, 1956. The
petitioner's company is an Internet Service Provider having
Category "A" license granted by the Government of India,
Ministry of Communications and I.T. Department of
Telecommunications. It is further submitted by the learned
counsel for the petitioner that whenever the petitioner's

company attempted to erect transmission cell phone tower, publicans are causing interference. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that by order dated 05.03.2015, the Hon'ble First Bench of this Court, in W.P.No.24976 of 2008 etc., batch, held that because of the advancement in the science, no one is prevented to erect cell phone towers. It is also submitted that the petitioner has given a representation to the respondent police for providing police protection and since the same has not been considered, he has come up with the present petition for the relief stated supra.

3. At this juncture, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the representation sent by the petitioner has not been received and therefore, the petitioner may be directed to give a fresh representation.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to send a fresh representation to the respondent police and on receipt of the same, the respondent police is directed to consider the said representation and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Jrl

To

1. The Inspector of police,
SIPCOT Police Station,
Vellore District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.R.G.Shankar, Advocate, S.R.No.22827

CRL.O.P.No.5326 of 2016

BVR (CO)

CA(26/04/2016)

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>