

CrI.O.P.No.5277 of 2016

R.MALA,J.

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section 420 of I.P.C., in Crime No. Not known of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and hence, he prays for enlargement on anticipatory bail.

3. The learned Government Advocate (CrI Side) would submit that on the basis of the complaint given by one Indumathi, only petition enquiry is pending in C.S.R.No.401 of 2015.

R.MALA, J.

rrg

4. Considering the submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. However, I am inclined to give a direction to the respondent not to harass the petitioner under the guise of petition enquiry. The respondent is directed to follow the dictum laid down in ***D.K.Basu Vs. State of West Bengal*** reported in ***AIR (1997) SC 610***.

5. With the above direction, this Criminal Original petition is disposed of.

11.03.2016

rrg

Crl.O.P.No.5277 of 2016