

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.04.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **T.S. SIVAGNANAM**

Crl.O.P.No.5264 of 2016

1.P.Harikrishnan
2.P.Parthasarathy

Petitioners

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station
Thousand Light, Chennai.

Respondent

Prayer : Petition filed under section 438 of Cr.P.C., to order enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No. Not known of 2016 pending investigation on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Ms.Kanimozhi Mathi
For Respondent : Mr.C.Iyyappan,
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

For Defacto Complainant : Mr.Prof.M.Udhaya Banu

ORDER

Heard Ms.Kanimozhi Mathi, learned counsel appearing for petitioners, Mr.C.Iyyappan, learned Government Advocate (crl.side) appearing for the respondent and Mr.Prof.M.Udhaya Banu, learned counsel appearing for the defacto complainant.

2. The Criminal Original Petition was referred for mediation by an order dated 09.03.2016. By the untiring efforts of the dedicated mediators, the parties were able to enter into an agreement dated 27.04.2016. For better appreciation, the entire agreement is quoted herein below:

MEDIATION AGREEMENT

Date: 27.04.2016

Mediation Case No	: 204/2016	
Case Details	: CRL.OP.No.5264/2016	
Referred by	: The Hon'ble Ms.Justice R.Mala	
Date of Order	: 09.03.2016	
Parties Name	: P.Harikrishnan and another	– Petitioners

-Vs-

Inspector of Police,

All Women Police Station,
Thousand Lights,
Chennai -Respondent

M.Gayathri -Defacto Complainant

Counsel Name : M/s.Kanimozhi Mathi for Petitioner

Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)
For Respondent

M/s.Prof.M.Udhyabhanu for Defacto Complainant

**Both sides, out of their own volition and without any pressure
or coercion from any side have agreed as follows:**

1. Both the 1st petitioner/ husband and the defacto complainant/wife have complied with the terms and conditions in the memo filed by them.
2. In pursuance of the same, both the parties have filed the petition under 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act 1955.
3. Both the parties agreed that they have no further claims against each other.

SD/

P.Harikrishnan
(1st Petitioner)

SD/

M/s.Kanimozhi Mathi
(Counsel for Petitioners)

SD/

M.Gayathri
(Defacto Complainant)

SD/

M/s.Prof.M.Udhyabhanu
(Counsel for Defacto Complainant)

3. Thus, the mediation agreement entered into between the parties dated 27.04.2016, is placed on record and the Criminal Original Petition is disposed of in terms of Mediation Agreement dated 23.03.2016 and the Mediation Agreement dated 27.04.2016, shall form part of the judgment.

29.04.2016

rpa

T.S. SIVAGNANAM, J.

rpa

Crl.O.P.No.5264 of 2016

29.04.2016