

Crl.OP. No.3266 of 2016**K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.**

The petitioner, who is sole accused, apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences punishable under Sections 406 and 417 IPC in Crime No.153 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police and hence seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused, who was working as an employee of the defacto complainant, has misappropriated to the tune of Rs.6,33,696/-.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail stating that the accused, who was an employee of the defacto complainant, has collected more than Rs.6,00,000/- from his customers, but fail to pay the amount to the defacto complainant.

K.KALYANASUNDARAM, J.

sms

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the gravity of offences, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sms

28.03.2016

CrI.OP. No.3266 of 2016