

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24.10.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN

W.P. No.18508 of 2013
and M.P.Nos.1 of 2013 and 1 of 2014

V.Chandrasekaran

...

Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu
Rep.by Secretary to Government,
Highways and Minor Ports Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The Special Deputy Collector (LA)
Tamil Nadu Urban Development Project III
No.15, M.G. Nagar Main Road,
Near Sri Vigneswara Theatre,
Poonamallee, Chennai - 600 056.

3. The Chief Engineer (Metro)
Chennai Metropolitan Development Project,
Highways Department,
Alandur, Chennai - 600 016.

...

Respondents

PRAYER : Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issue of writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned notice dated 30.09.2009 in reference in Na.Ka.No.48/2006/A issued by the second respondent under Section 15 (2) under TamilNadu Highways Act and the subsequent notice published under Section 15(1) in G.O.No.7, Highways and Minor Ports (HW2), dated 13.01.2011 published in TamilNadu Government Gazette No.4 dated 2.2.2011 on the file of the first respondent and consequent notice in reference in Na.Ka.48/2006/A1, dated 09.02.2011 issued under Section 19(2) and 19(3) of Tamil Nadu Highways Act and notice in reference Rc.48/2006/A1, dated 09.02.2011 issued under Section 16(2) of the Tamil Nadu Highways Act and notice in reference Na.Ka.13970/2011/F1 dated 18.03.2013 issued under Section 19(5) and 19 (7) of Tamil Nadu Highways Act and impugned award in reference No.4/2013 dated 31.05.2013 in Na.Ka.No.749/2010/A1 on the file of the second respondent and quash the same and forbearing the respondents from taking possession of the lands in the subject matter.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.R.K.Bhavanantham

For Respondents: Mrs.A.Srijayanthi
Special Govt. Pleader
O R D E R

The petitioner has challenged the land acquisition proceedings initiated by the respondents in respect of the portion of the property comprised in TS No.34, measuring 1200 sq.ft. in Ward - E, Block No.45, Korattur Village, Ambattur Municipality, Ambattur Taluk, Tiruvallur District bearing Door No.7/3, South Railway Station Road, Venkatraman Nagar, Korattur, Chennai - 600 080.

2. The petitioner is the owner of the aforesaid property and the said property is sought to be acquired by the respondents for laying railway under bridge. In this regard, the respondents issued notification under Section 15 (2) of the Tamil Nadu Highways Act 2001 on 13.09.2009. The purpose for acquisition is for railway under bridge near Korattur Railway Station and the petitioner filed objection on 14.10.2009.

3. According to the petitioner, he was asked to appear for enquiry on 03.11.2009, however, there was no enquiry on that date and subsequently, 15 (1) notice was issued. Without following the procedures contemplated under Rule 5 of the Tamil Nadu Highways Rules 2003, the respondents proceeded with the acquisition proceedings. Rule 5(2) of the Tamil Nadu Highways Rules 2003 contemplates that if any objection is received from a person interested in the land within the time prescribed in the public notice, enquiry should be fixed for hearing the objections. However, no enquiry was conducted and therefore, the petitioner has challenged the entire proceedings for non-compliance of mandatory provisions stating that the statutory enquiry under Section 15 (2) has not been complied with and Section 2 of the Tamil Nadu Highways Act has not been complied with. Moreover, it is contended that there was non-application of mind by the Government in issuing notice for Section 15 (1).

4. A counter affidavit has been filed stating that all the provisions of the Act has been complied with. Moreover, it is stated that for public purpose only, the railway under bridge is being constructed near Korattur Level Crossing Railway Station near Level Crossing No.4.

5. After hearing both the parties, it is evident that though the proceedings initiated by the respondents are not valid, the interest of justice requires to hold the proceedings valid in the interest of public and the rights of both the parties could be safe guarded if the width of the proposed

service road is reduced from 5.50 meters to 3.75 meters and the width of storm water drain cum foot path is reduced from 1.5 meters to 1.25 meters. If such a reduction is made, the petitioner agrees for giving up the entire challenge to the proceedings and he is also ready to give possession of the entire property.

6. In view of the above position, this court, though concludes that the entire proceedings are valid, only to safe guard the public interest and also to get the possession of the property at the earliest, for the purpose of executing the project, directs the respondents to reduce the proposed service road from 5.50 meters to 3.75 meters and the width of the storm water drain cum foot path from 1.5 meters to 1.25 meters. Other than the concession given above, the entire proceedings are held to be valid. Since the petitioner is ready to handover the acquired possession of the property except the modification made above, this order has been passed and it should not be treated as a precedent in any other case. In this regard, an undertaking affidavit has also been filed by the petitioner and relevant portion of para 5 of the same is usefully extracted as follows -

5. I further respectfully state that I hereby swear to this affidavit declaring and affirming that though I have challenged the entire acquisition proceedings itself in the writ petition, if the width of the proposed service road is reduced to 3.75 m. from 5.50 meters and the width of the storm water drain cum foot path is reduced from 1.5 meters to 1.25 meters, I will be willing to give up the original prayer in the writ petition and co-operate for the completion of the project.

7. As far as the compensation is concerned, the petitioner is entitled to get compensation in accordance with law.

This writ petition is disposed of in the following terms -

- (a) the proceedings are valid in accordance with law ;
- (b) The respondents, in the facts and circumstances of the case are directed to reduce the width of the proposed service road to 3.75 meters from 5.50 meters and reduce the width of the storm water drain cum foot path from 1.5 meters to 1.25 meters ;
- (c) the petitioner is entitled to compensation in accordance with law ;

(d) the petitioner is directed to hand over possession of the property to the respondents on or before 31.01.2017.

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar (CS III)

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

rg

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
State of Tamil Nadu,
Highways and Minor Ports Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Special Deputy Collector (LA)
Tamil Nadu Urban Development Project III
No.15, M.G. Nagar Main Road,
Near Sri Vigneswara Theatre,
Poonamallee, Chennai - 600 056.
3. The Chief Engineer (Metro)
Chennai Metropolitan Development Project,
Highways Department,
Alandur, Chennai - 600 016.

1 cc to MrJ.R.K. Bhavanantham, Advocate, Sr. 61234

W.P. No.18508 of 2013

WEB COPY

VD (CO)
kk 2/12