

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.08.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

H.C.P.No.811/2016

Kumaran @ Bullet Kumaran

..... Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. by its Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Chennai Police, Vepery, Chennai.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS to call for the entire records relating to the detention of the petitioner Kumaran @ Bullet Kumaran, son of Manoharan, male, aged 47 years, who has been detained under Act 14/82 as a "Goonda" vide detention order dated 11.12.2015 on the file of the 2nd respondent herein, made in BCDFGISSSV No.1237/2015, quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents herein to produce the body and person of the said detenu before this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Rajavelu

For Respondents : Mr.V.M.R.Rajentiran,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER**[Order of the Court was made by V.BHARATHIDASAN,J]**

This Habeas Corpus Petition is filed, by the denteu, namely, Kumaran @ Bullet Kumaran, son of Manoharan, male, aged at 47 years to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records, in No.BCDFGISSSV 1237/2015 dated 11.12.2015, passed by the 2nd Respondent, detaining the detenu, under Section 3(1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14/1982), the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982, branding him as a "Goonda", in the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and to quash the same and to direct the Respondents to produce the body of the detenu and set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records carefully.

3. Though, several grounds have been raised in this

Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, had assailed the impugned detention order mainly on the ground that the detaining authority had stated, in Paragraph No.4 of the order of detention, that no bail application had been moved on behalf of the detenu, in D4 Zam Bazaar Police Station Crime No.1189/2015. However, in the detention order it had been stated that the relatives of the detenu are taking action to take him out on bail, in D4 Zam Bazaar Police Station Crime No.1189/2015, by filing bail application before the appropriate Court. It had also been pointed out that no statements had been recorded from the relatives of the detenu with regard to the claim that they are taking steps to move bail application, on behalf of the detenu and no such statements had been furnished to the detenu.

4. The said submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, had not been refuted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

5. It is noted from the records available that no

statements had been recorded from the relatives concerned to substantiate the claim that they are taking steps to move bail application on behalf of the detenu, to take him out on bail, in the above said case. In such circumstances, we find that there is non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority, in passing the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the detention order.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order, dated 11.12.2015, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released forthwith unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

**[S.N.,J.] [V.B.D.J.,]
10.08.2016**

tsi

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department
Fort St.George
Chennai 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Chennai City.
- 3.The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

S.NAGAMUTHU, J.
and

V.BHARATHIDASAN, J.

tsi

H.C.P.No.811/2016

10.08.2016