

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.02.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.NAGAMUTHU

H.C.P.No.3 of 2016

N.Venkatesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State, rep.by its
Sub-Inspector of Police,
Veppur Police Station, Veppur,
Cuddalore District.

2.Mr.Velmurugan
3.Mr.Titanic
4.Mr.Subramanian
5.Mr.Gunasekaran
6.Mr.Selvam
7.Mrs.Latha

... Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus directing the first respondent police to produce the body of the petitioner's wife Smt.Sangeetha, F/a 28 years of Nagar Village & PO, Veppur Taluk, Cuddalore District, by tracing out her from the hideouts of the above respondents 2 to 7 and set her liberty forthwith.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondents : Mr.V.M.R.Rajentren,
Additional Public Prosecutor for R1

ORDER

(The order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN.,J)

There is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

2. This habeas corpus petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is the husband of the detainee, namely, Smt.Sangeetha, aged 28 years, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a direction to the first respondent to produce the detainee before this Court and set her at liberty.

3. It has been stated that the petitioner had married the detinue and they had been living together, in their native village, along with their children. It has been stated that due to a family dispute between the petitioner and respondents 2 to 7, a complaint had been lodged before the All Women Police Station, Virudhachalam, Cuddalore District, on 7.9.2015. Thereafter, respondents 2 to 7 had abducted the detinue, the wife of the petitioner, in order to wreak vengeance against the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner has given a police complaint before the Veppur All Women Police Station, Cuddalore district, which has been registered, in Crime No.47 of 2016, as a woman-missing case.

4. At this stage of the hearing of the habeas corpus petition, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the first respondent had submitted, on instructions, that the detinue had eloped with the second respondent. However, necessary steps are being taken to trace the detinue.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, we are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this habeas corpus petition. Hence, this habeas corpus petition stands closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

msk

To

1. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Puduchatram Police Station,
Namakkal Taluk & District
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.3 of 2016

EV(CO)
CA(23/03/2016)