

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.10.2016

CORAM:

The HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN  
and  
The HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.BASKARAN

H.C.P.No.2102 of 2016  
and  
Crl.M.P.No.10592 of 2016

Santhi .. Petitioner/Wife of the  
detenue

Vs.

The State,  
represented by  
The Inspector of Police,  
E5, Teynampet Police Station,  
Teynampet, Chennai. .. Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the  
Constitution of India, praying for the issue of a Writ of Habeas  
Corpus, directing the respondent herein to produce the husband  
of the petitioner, Pandurangan, 46 years, before this Court and  
set him at liberty forthwith.

For petitioner : Mr.R.Muthukumar

For respondent : Mr.V.M.R.Rajentren, APP

सत्यमेव जयते

- - - -

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN, J.)

Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor,  
appearing on behalf of the respondent.

2 This Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed by the wife  
of the detenu, praying that this court may be pleased to issue a  
Writ of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondent to produce her

husband, namely, Pandurangan, aged about 46 years, before this Court and set him at liberty, forthwith.

3 The petitioner had stated that her husband, namely, Pandurangan, aged about 46 years, had been missing, from 1.6.2016. Hence, the petitioner had lodged a complaint before the respondent police, on 11.6.2016. Based on the said complaint, the respondent police had registered a case, in Crime No.762 of 2016. However, no steps had been taken, by the respondent police, to trace the detenu. Hence, the petitioner has preferred the present Habeas Corpus Petition, before this Court.

4 At this stage of the hearing of the Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of the respondent, had submitted, on instructions, that the detenu had been running a chit fund business. As he had not paid the money to the investors, several complaints had been filed against him. In such circumstances, the detenu had gone into hiding.

5 In view of the said submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent, this Court is of the view that the detenu is not in the illegal custody of any one and that he had gone into hiding due to the complaints being made by the investors in his chit fund business. In such circumstances, no further orders are necessary in the Habeas Corpus Petition. Hence, this Habeas Corpus Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CSIV)

True Copy

सत्यमेव जयते  
Sub-Assistant Registrar

vvk

WEB COPY

To

1.The Inspector of Police,  
E5, Teynampet Police Station,  
Teynampet, Chennai.

2.The Public Prosecutor High Court  
Madras

H.C.P. No.2102 of 2016

pvs (co)  
aa14/11/2016



WEB COPY