

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.3.2016

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

TCA.No.167 of 2016
and
CMP.No.2523 of 2016

The Commissioner of Income Tax,
63, Race Course Road,
Coimbatore.

... Appellant

Vs

M/s.Best Corporation Ltd.,
Tirupur - 641 605.
PAN : AACCR6828G

... Respondent

APPEAL under Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 against the order dated 20.5.2015 made in I.T.A.No.2165/Mds/2014 on the file of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal 'C' Bench, Chennai for the assessment year 2011-12 and against the Order dated 29.05.2014 made in ITA.No.486/13-14 on the file of Commissioner of Income Tax(Appeals) - II, Coimbatore and against the Order dated 31.01.2014 and made in PAN.No./GIR No.AACCR6828G on the file of Joint Commissioner of Income Tax, Tirupur Range, Tirupur.

For Appellant : Mr.T.R.Senthilkumar

Judgment was delivered by V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN,J

The Revenue has come up with the above appeal raising the following substantial questions of law :

"(1) Whether under the facts and circumstances of the case, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal was right in law in holding that the assessee is entitled to deduction under Section 80IA without setting off the losses/unabsorbed depreciation pertaining to the windmill, which were set

off in the earlier year against other business income of the assessee following the decision of the jurisdictional High Court in the case of M/s.Velayudhaswamy Spinning Mills (340 ITR 477), when the same is pending appeal before the Supreme Court in SLP.Civil No.1136 of 2011 ?

(2) Whether under the facts and circumstances of the case, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal was correct in holding that the initial assessment year in Section 80IA(5) would only mean the year of claim of deduction under Section 80IA and not the year of commencement of eligible business ? and

(3) Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Tribunal was right in holding that the assessee has the option to choose the first/initial assessment year of claim for deduction under Section 80IA ?"

2. Heard Mr.T.R.Senthilkumar, learned Standing Counsel for the Department.

3. Even according to the learned Standing Counsel for the Department, this Court has consistently followed the decision in M/s.Velayudhaswamy Spinning Mills (340 ITR 477), despite the Honourable Supreme Court ordering notice.

4. Interestingly, on the basis of the decision in Velayudhaswamy Spinning Mills, the Central Board of Direct Taxes has issued Circular No.1/ 2016 dated 15.2.2016. It will be useful to extract the circular in entirety, which is as follows :

"Circular No. 1 /2016
Government of India
Ministry of Finance
Department of Revenue
Central Board of Direct Taxes
North Block, New Delhi, the 15th February,
2016

Subject: Clarification of the term 'initial assessment year' in Section 80IA(5) of the Income Tax Act, 1961

Section 80IA of the Income-tax Act, 1961 ('Act'), as substituted by Finance Act, 1999

with effect from 1.4.2000, provides for deduction of an amount equal to 100% of the profits and gains derived by an undertaking or enterprise from an eligible business (as referred to in Sub-Section (4) of that Section) in accordance with the prescribed provisions. Sub-Section (2) of Section 801A further provides that the aforesaid deduction can be claimed by the assessee, at his option, for any ten consecutive assessment years out of fifteen years (twenty years in certain cases) beginning from the year in which the undertaking commences operation, begins development or starts providing services etc. as stipulated therein. Sub-Section (5) of Section 801A further provides as under :

"Notwithstanding anything contained in any other provision of this Act, the profits and gains of an eligible business to which the provisions of Sub-Section (1) apply shall, for the purposes of determining the quantum of deduction under that Sub-Section for the assessment year immediately succeeding the initial assessment year or any subsequent assessment year, be computed as if such eligible business were the only source of income of the assessee during the previous year relevant to the initial assessment year and to every subsequent assessment year up to and including the assessment year for which the determination is to be made".

In the above Sub-Section, which prescribes the manner of determining the quantum of deduction, a reference has been made to the term 'initial assessment year'. It has been represented that some Assessing Officers are interpreting the term 'initial assessment year' as the year in which the eligible business/manufacturing activity had commenced and are considering such first year of commencement/operation etc. itself as the first year for granting deduction, ignoring the clear mandate provided under Sub-Section (2) which allows a choice to the assessee for deciding the year from which it desires to claim deduction out of the applicable slab of fifteen (or twenty) years.

The matter has been examined by the Board. It is abundantly clear from Sub-Section (2) that an assessee who is eligible to claim deduction u/s 80IA has the option to choose the initial/first year from which it may desire the claim of deduction for ten consecutive years, out of a slab of fifteen (or twenty) years, as prescribed under that Sub-Section. It is hereby clarified that once such initial assessment year has been opted for by the assessee, he shall be entitled to claim deduction u/s 80IA for ten consecutive years beginning from the year in respect of which he has exercised such option subject to the fulfillment of conditions prescribed in the section. Hence, the term 'initial assessment year' would mean the first year opted for by the assessee for claiming deduction u/s 80IA. However, the total number of years for claiming deduction should not transgress the prescribed slab of fifteen or twenty years, as the case may be and the period of claim should be availed in continuity.

The Assessing Officers are, therefore, directed to allow deduction u/s 80IA in accordance with this clarification and after being satisfied that all the prescribed conditions applicable in a particular case are duly satisfied. Pending litigation on allowability of deduction u/s 80 IA shall also not be pursued to the extent it relates to interpreting 'initial assessment year' as mentioned in Sub-Section (5) of that section for which the Standing Counsel/DRs be suitably instructed.

The above be brought to the notice of all Assessing Officers concerned."

5. Therefore, admittedly, questions of law 2 and 3 are also covered by the above circular. Hence, the appeal deserves to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the above tax case appeal is dismissed. Consequently, the above CMP is also dismissed.

7. But, we cannot resist our temptation to record one more fact. If an issue is covered by the judgment of the High Court,

it is always open to the Department to take it on appeal to the Supreme Court and get the law settled once and for all. But, once a decision is taken at the level of the Board, we do not know why repeated appeals should be filed, only to meet with the same fate as that of a decision, on which, a circular has been issued. The Department shall take note of this for future guidance.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

RS

To

- 1.The Income Tax Appellate Tribunal,
'C' Bench, Chennai.
- 2.The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Coimbatore.
- 3.The Commissioner of Income Tax(Appeals) II,
Coimbatore.
- 4.The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Tirupur Range, Tirupur.

TCA.No.167 of 2016
&
CMP.No.2523 of 2016

VD(CO)
CA(06/04/2016)

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY