

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.06.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE **M.M.SUNDRESH**
and
THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE **K.RAVICHANDRABAABU**

Rev. ApIn. (W) Nos.58 to 61 of 2016
and
W.M.P.Nos.14523 to 14526 of 2016

K.Sathyabal	..	Applicant in Rev.A.No.58 of 2016
R.Y.George Williams	..	Applicant in Rev.A.No.59 of 2016
R.Prasadh	..	Applicant in Rev.A.No.60 of 2016
U.Rajarajan	..	Applicant in Rev.A.No.61 of 2016

Vs.

- 1.Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and
Puducherry by its Secretary,
High Court Campus,
Chennai - 104.
- 2.D.Selvam,
Chairman,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and
Puducherry, High Court Campus,
Chennai - 104.
- 3.Bar Council of India
by its Secretary,

21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 002.

4.Mannan Kumar Misra,
Chairman,
Bar Council of India,
21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 002.

5.Karnataka State Bar Council
Secretary,
Old K.G.I.D. Building,
Dr.Ambedkar Veedhi,
Bangalore - 560 001.

.. Respondents in all
the Review Applications

Review Application preferred under Order XLVII Rule 1 r/w
Section 114 of C.P.C. against the order of this Court made in
W.P.Nos.499, 500, 501 and 502 of 2016 dated 21.03.2016.

For Applicant : Ms.S.Meenakshi
in all the Review Applications

For Respondents : Ms.M.Srividhya for R1
in all the Review Applications
Mr.S.Y.Masood for R2
in all the Review Applications
Mr.S.R.Rajagopal for R3
in all the Review Applications

COMMON ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.M.SUNDRESH, J.)

These Review Applications are filed by raising very many grounds on merits which, in effect, are nothing but an attempt to re-argue the matter on merits once again. Needless to say that such attempt is not the scope for the review jurisdiction.

2.Learned counsel appearing for the applicants sought the change of venue of enquiry from Bangalore to Chennai however by the same Karnataka State Bar Council.

3.In view of the detailed order passed in the writ petitions by this court on 21.03.2016 and in view of the order today passed by us extending the time for completion of the enquiry by six weeks and also by taking note of the fact that the enquiry is going on at Bangalore, we do not propose to entertain these review applications and also the request made by the applicants for change of the venue. Accordingly, these review applications stand closed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(M.M.S.J.) (K.R.C.B.J.)
27.06.2016

mmi

To

- 1.The Secretary,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and
Puducherry,
High Court Campus,
Chennai - 104.
- 2.The Chairman,
Bar Council of Tamil Nadu and
Puducherry, High Court Campus,
Chennai - 104.
- 3.The Secretary,
Bar Council of India,
21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 002.
- 4.The Chairman,
Bar Council of India,
21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area,
Near Bal Bhawan,
New Delhi - 110 002.
- 5.Karnataka State Bar Council
Secretary,
Old K.G.I.D. Building,
Dr.Ambedkar Veedhi,
Bangalore - 560 001.

M.M.SUNDRESH, J
and
K.RAVICHANDRABAABU, J

mmi

Rev. ApIn. (W) Nos.58 to 61
of 2016

27.06.2016