

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.11.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN  
and  
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.BASKARAN

H.C.P.No.1047 of 2016

Gurusamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu  
rep by its Secretary to Government,  
Home, Prohibition & Excise Department,  
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Commissioner of Police  
Greater Chennai Police  
Vepery, Chennai-7.

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the second respondent, in No.500/BCDFGISSSV/2016, dated 29.04.2016, against the detenu, viz., Thiru.Esa @ Eswaran, Son of Gurusamy, aged about 26 years, who is confined at the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and to set aside the same and to direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : M/s.K.Thenrajan

For Respondents : Mr.V.M.R.Rajentren,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.JAICHANDREN,J]

This Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed by the father of the detenu, namely, Thiru.Esa @ Eswaran, Son of Gurusamy, aged about 26 years, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records, in No.500/BCDFGISSSV/2016, dated 29.04.2016, passed by the second respondent, detaining the detenu, under Section 3 (1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video

Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), branding him as a "Goonda", in Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and to quash the same and to direct the Respondents to produce the body of the detenu and to set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records, carefully.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in this Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has assailed the impugned detention order mainly on the ground that the detaining authority had stated, in paragraph No.4 of the order of detention, that the relatives of the detenu are taking steps to take him out on bail, in Cr.No.451 of 2016 on the file of H-6 R.K. Nagar Police Station and in Cr.No.512 of 2016 on the file of H-8 Thiruvottiyur Police Station, by filing a bail application before the appropriate Court. It had also been pointed out that no statements had been recorded from the relatives of the detenu with regard to the claim that they are taking steps to move a bail application, on behalf of the detenu and no such statements had been furnished to the detenu.

4. The said submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had not been refuted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondents.

5. It is noted from the materials available on record that no statements had been recorded from the relatives concerned to substantiate the claim that they are taking steps to move a bail application on behalf of the detenu, to take him out on bail, in the above said cases. In such circumstances, we find that there is non-application of mind on the part of the detaining authority, in passing the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the detention order.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order, dated 29.04.2016, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released, forthwith, unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar


rnb

To

- 1.The Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu  
Prohibition & Excise Department,  
Fort St. George,  
Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police  
Chennai.
- 3.The Superintendent,  
Central Prison,  
Puzhal, Chennai 66.
- 4.The Joint Secretary to Government,  
Public(Law & Order),  
Fort Saint George, Chennai - 9.
- 5.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1047 of 2016

RJ(CO)  
CA(14/12/2016)



WEB COPY