

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.11.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN
and
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.BASKARAN

H.C.P.No.1022 of 2016

Saroja ..Petitioner/Mother of the detenue

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
rep by its Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Manali Police Station
Manali, Chennai

.. Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records of the detention order, dated 18.2.2016, in detention order No.BCDFGISSSV No.136/2016, on the file of the second respondent and to quash the same and to direct the respondents herein to produce the body of the Saravanan, son of Deivanayagam, aged about 30 years, who is now confined in the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Thamaraiselvan

For Respondents : Mr.V.M.R.Rajentran, APP

ORDER

This Habeas Corpus Petition has been filed by the mother of the detenu, namely, Saravanan, son of Deivanayagam, aged about 30 years, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records, in BCDFGISSSV No.136/2016, dated 18.2.2016, passed by the second respondent, detaining the detenu, under Section 3(1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Sand Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), branding him as a "Goonda", in the Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai, and to quash the same and to direct the Respondents to produce the body of the detenu and set him at liberty forthwith.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and we have also perused the records, carefully.

3. Though several grounds have been raised in this Habeas Corpus Petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has assailed the impugned detention order mainly on the ground that the detaining authority had stated, in paragraph No.4 of the order of detention, that the detenu has not filed any bail application in the ground case, in Crime No.207 of 2016, on the file of the R-3 Ashok Nagar Police Station, so far. It has been further stated that the relatives of the detenu are taking steps to take him out on bail, in the above said crime number, by filing a bail application before the appropriate Court. It had also been pointed out that no statements had been recorded from the relatives of the detenu with regard to the claim that they are taking steps to move a bail application, on behalf of the detenu, in the said Crime No.207 of 2016 and no such statements had been furnished to the detenu.

4. The said submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had not been refuted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondents.

5. It is noted from the records available that no statements had been recorded from the relatives concerned to substantiate the claim that they are taking steps to move a bail application on behalf of the detenu, to take him out on bail, in the above said ground case in Crime No.207 of 2016, on the file of the R-3 Ashok Nagar Police Station. In such circumstances, we

find that there is non application of mind on the part of the detaining authority, in passing the detention order. Therefore, we are inclined to set aside the detention order.

6. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned detention order, dated 18.2.2016, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu is directed to be released, forthwith, unless his presence is required in connection with any other case.

sd/
Assistant Registrar (CS VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vvk

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
The State of Tamil Nadu,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai Police,
Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
M-6, Manali Police Station,
Manali, Chennai.
4. The Superitendent, Central Prison
Puzhal, Chennai
5. The Joint Secretary to Govt. Public (Law & Order)
Fort St. George,
chennai-9.

6. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

H.C.P.No.1022 of 2016

VGI
sp/18/1



WEB COPY