

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.11.2016

CORAM

The HON'BLE MR.SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, CHIEF JUSTICE
AND

The HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

O.S.A.No.244 of 2016

Fathimabi

.. Appellant

Vs

The Official Trustee of Madras
High Court Campus
Chennai - 600 104.

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent read with Order XXXVI Rule 9 of the Original Side Rules against the judgment and decree dated 15.7.2016 passed in A.No.2108 of 2016 in C.S.No.314 of 1930.

For Appellant : Mr.A.Ilaya Perumal

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

After some arguments, in view of the fact that the amount has been doubled from 2012 to 2016, the learned counsel for the appellant does not press the appeal but states that in any future revision, the price of what is distributed as per last revision as compared with price of the same product when increase is sought should be adopted as a benchmark for determining the amount.

2.We are in agreement with this submission and, in fact, for seeking a higher amount than determined by the impugned order, have asked the learned counsel for the appellant to show that the price of gruel or other food stuff distributed has increased more than double, the material which was not placed before us.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid observations.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

The Official Trustee of Madras
High Court Campus
Chennai - 600 104.


Copy to

The Sub Assistant Registrar,
Original Side,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.A.Ilayaperumal, Advocate Sr.70742

O.S.A.No.244 of 2016

sm[co]
srg 15/12/2016



WEB COPY