

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.09.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL.OP.No.20802 of 2016

Murugesan

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Erode, Erode District.

2. The Additional Superintendent of Police,
Bhavani Range, Bhavani, Erode.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Bhavani Police Station,
Bhavani, Erode.

... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.,
praying to issue suitable direction directing the 3rd respondent to
register a case on the petitioner's complaint dated 27.08.2016,
investigate the crime and to file final report in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vijayakumar

For Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This petition has been filed to issue suitable direction
directing the 3rd respondent to register a case on the petitioner's
complaint dated 27.08.2016, investigate the crime and to file final
report in accordance with law.

2 In State of Punjab vs. Davinder Pal Singh Bhullar and
others [(2011) 14 SCC 770], the Supreme Court has held as under:

"63 Application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. lies before the
High Court against an order passed by the court subordinate to it in
a pending case/proceedings. Generally, such powers are used for
quashing criminal proceedings in appropriate cases. Such an
application does not lie to initiate criminal proceedings or set the
criminal law in motion. Inherent jurisdiction can be exercised if the
order of the Subordinate Court results in the abuse of the "process"
of the court and/or calls for interference to secure the ends of
justice. The use of word "process" implies that the proceedings are
pending before the Subordinate Court. When reference is made to the
phrase "to secure the ends of justice", it is in fact in relation to
the order passed by the Subordinate Court and it cannot be understood
in a general connotation of the phrase. More so, while entertaining

such application the proceedings should be pending in the Subordinate Court. In case it attained finality, the inherent powers cannot be exercised. The party aggrieved may approach the appellate/revisional forum. Inherent jurisdiction can be exercised if injustice is done to a party, e.g., a clear mandatory provision of law is overlooked or where different accused in the same case are being treated differently by the Subordinate Court. (emphasis supplied).

64 An inherent power is not an omnibus for opening a Pandora's box, that too for issues that are foreign to the main context. The invoking of the power has to be for a purpose that is connected to a proceeding and not for sprouting an altogether new issue. A power cannot exceed its own authority beyond its own creation."

3 Following the said judgment, this Court dismissed Crl.O.P. Nos.19197, 19198, 19343 and 19359 to 19363 of 2016 by a detailed order dated 27.09.2016.

4. In view of the above, this Criminal Original Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to follow the procedure laid down in the order dated 27.09.2016 passed by this Court in Crl.O.P. Nos. 19197, 19198, 19343 and 19359 to 19363 of 2016 and file a fresh petition, if necessary.

Sd/-

Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Erode, Erode District.
2. The Additional Superintendent of Police,
Bhavani Range, Bhavani, Erode.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Bhavani Police Station,
Bhavani, Erode.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CO: KK

ths : 19.10.2016

CRL.OP.No.20802 of 2016