

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 26.09.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.13221 of 2013

Nirmala Devi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
(Chennai District),
Singaravelar Maligai,
Rajaji Salai,
Chennai-600 001.

2.The Project Manager,
Tsunami Disaster Management Cell,
(Relief & Rehabilitation),
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.

3.The Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board,
No.5, Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai-600 005.

.. Respondents

The writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issue of a writ of mandamus, directing the second and the third respondents to allot suitable accommodation based on the order passed by the Tahsildar, in proceedings No.A2/9588/2013, dated 22.4.2013, within a time fixed by the Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.R.Nixon

For Respondents : Mr.K.Rajendra Prasad, GA for R-1
Mr.B.Kesavan for R-3

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned counsels, appearing on behalf of the concerned respondents.

2 This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of

Mandamus, directing the second and the third respondents to allot suitable accommodation to the petitioner, based on the order passed by the Tahsildar, in proceedings No.A2/9588/2013, dated 22.4.2013, within a stipulated time.

3 At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had submitted that it would suffice, if this Court is pleased to permit the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the third respondent, with regard to the claim of the petitioner for allotment of a flat, in her favour, under the Tsunami affected persons category, within a specified period and on such representation being made, the third respondent may be directed to dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law, within a specified period.

4 The learned counsels appearing on behalf of the respondents concerned have no objection for such an order being passed by this Court.

5 In view of such submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties concerned, this Court finds it appropriate to permit the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the third respondent herein, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such a representation, the third respondent, shall consider and pass appropriate orders thereon, within a period of twelve weeks, thereafter. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

सत्यमेव जयते

Sub Assistant Registrar

vvk

To

1.The District Collector,
(Chennai District),
Singaravelar Maligai,
Rajaji Salai,
Chennai-600 001.

WEB COPY


2.The Project Manager,
Tsunami Disaster Management Cell,
(Relief & Rehabilitation),
Ezhilagam, Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.

3.The Managing Director,
The Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board,
No.5, Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai-600 005.

+lcc to Mr.B.Kesavan, Advocate Sr.55255
+lcc to the Government Pleader Sr.55040

W.P.No.13221 of 2013

skv[co]
srg 22/10/2016



WEB COPY