

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 21.10.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.No.3294 of 2013

P.Tamil Selvi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector
Chennai District,
Chennai.

2.The Commissioner,
Corporation of Chennai,
Chennai.

(R2 suo motu impleaded as per the
order of this Court made in W.P.No.3294
of 2013 dated 21.10.2016)

.. Respondent

Prayer: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India praying to issue a writ of Mandamus
directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's
representation dated 04.12.2012 and consequently directing the
respondent to grant licence to run the small canteen in
G.N.Chetty Road, T.Nagar, Chennai-17 (Back side of Ramakrishna
School)

For petitioner : Mr.S.Senthil Vel
For Respondent : Mr.R.Govindasamy
Spl.Govt.Pleader

O R D E R

The petitioner sought for a direction to the respondents
to consider the petitioner's representation dated 04.12.2012 and
consequently directing the respondent to grant licence to run
the small canteen in G.N.Chetty Road, T.Nagar, Chennai-17 (Back
side of Ramakrishna School.)

2. The petitioner is a dis-abled person seeking for licence
to run a canteen at G.N.Chetty Road, T.Nagar, Chennai-17 and in
this regard, she has submitted a representation to the
respondent. Since no action has been taken by the respondents
to consider the representation, the petitioner has filed the
present writ petition.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the first respondent submits that pursuant to the representation, an enquiry was conducted on 26.03.2013, in which, the petitioner has participated. He would further submit that as far as granting licence to run canteen in public places is concerned, the Department of PWD, Corporation of Chennai, is the competent authority.

4. The Commissioner, Corporation of Chennai, is suo-motu impleaded as a party-respondent namely the second respondent.

5. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that he may be given liberty to make a fresh representation to the Corporation of Chennai for the relief.

6. Under such circumstances, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a fresh representation to the second respondent and on receipt of the same, the second respondent is directed to consider the representation, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and pass orders in accordance with law.

With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

kkd

To

1.The District Collector
Chennai District,
Chennai.

2.The Commissioner
Corporation of Chennai
Chennai

+1 cc to Government Pleader vide sr 60986

W.P.No.3294 of 2013

gj (co)

aa01/12/2016