

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 22.09.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL.O.P. No.20219 of 2016

D.Shanthi

... Petitioner

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu
rep. by The Inspector of Police
Tiruchengode Town Police Station
Tiruchengode.

.... Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482
of Cr.P.C., praying for direction to the respondent not to
harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner : M/s.RM.Venkatesh
For Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

Seeking a direction to the respondent police not to
harass the petitioner, the petitioner has come up with this
petition.

2. This petition has come up today for admission. I have
heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent and
I have also perused the records carefully.

3. In my considered opinion, no blanket direction as
prayed for by the petitioner could be given. If the
petitioner is wanted either for enquiry or for interrogation,
she can very well be summoned by the respondent police and
while doing so, the petitioner shall not be harassed. At the
same time, the petitioner should submit herself to the
respondent for interrogation and enquiry.

4. With the above observation, the Criminal Original Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Tiruchengode Town Police Station
Tiruchengode.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.Venkatesh, Advocate, S.R.No.54755



सत्यमेव जयते

CRL.O.P. No.20219 of 2016

(CO) LRS
CP (20/10/2016)

WEB COPY