

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.09.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL.OP.No.20180 of 2016

Sundaramurthy

... Petitioner

Vs

State by

The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District,
Cuddalore.

.... Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., praying to direct the respondent police not to harass the petitioner based on complaint given by the defacto complainant on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Arasu Ganesan

For Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This petition has been filed to direct the respondent police not to harass the petitioner based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant on the file of the respondent police.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner; learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent and perused the materials placed on record.

3. It is seen that the petitioner has impleaded the Superintendent of Police only as a party-respondent and he has not impleaded the concerned Police Station.

Hence, the petition stands dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition by naming the correct Police Station.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

gya


To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Cuddalore District,
Cuddalore.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.A.Arasu Ganesan, Advocate, S.R.No.51704

CRL.OP.No.20180 of 2016

RSI (CO)
CA(26/09/2016)



WEB COPY