

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.6.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM

Writ Petition Nos.22207 to 22216 of 2016

1.P.Bhavani ...Petitioner in WP.22207/2016
2.M.Perumal ...Petitioner in WP.22208/2016
3.S.N.R.Arul Kumar ...Petitioner in WP.22209/2016
4.K.Murali ...Petitioner in WP.22210/2016
5.M.Shahul Hameed ...Petitioner in WP.22211/2016
6.V.Manivannan ...Petitioner in WP.22212/2016
7.C.Palayam ...Petitioner in WP.22213/2016
8.M.Selvi ...Petitioner in WP.22214/2016
9.S.Geetha ...Petitioner in WP.22215/2016
10.M.Surendar ...Petitioner in WP.22216/2016

Vs

1.The Transport Commissioner,
State Transport Authority,
Chepauk, Chennai-5.1st Respondent in all the
Writ Petitions

2.The Regional Transport Officer,
Meenambakkam, Chennai.2nd Respondent in WP.22207/16

3.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai, (South) Chennai2nd Respondent in
WP.Nos.22208 & 22213/2016

4.The Regional Transport Officer,
Chennai(West) Chennai2nd Respondent in WP.22209/16

5.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai(North) Chennai2nd Respondent in WP.22210/16

6.The Regional Transport Officer
Poonamallee Chennai2nd Respondent in WP.22211/16

7.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai(North West) Chennai2nd Respondent in WP.22212/16

8.The Regional Transport Officer
Sozhinganallur Chennai2nd Respondent in WP.Nos
22214 and 22216/2016

9.The Regional Transport Officer
(ChennaiCentral)
Chennai

...2nd Respondent in WP.22215/16

PETITIONS under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying for the issuance of Writs of Mandamus directing the respective second respondent to receive the applications of the respective petitioners dated 4.5.2016 in Form CCPA and prescribed fee for the grant of auto rickshaw permit and to consider the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Govindaraman
For Respondents : Mr.A.Mohamed Mushtak,
Government Advocate

COMMON ORDER

Mr.A.Mohamed Mushtak, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the respondents. Heard both. By consent, the writ petitions are taken up for final disposal.

2. The petitioners have filed these writ petitions seeking a direction to the respective second respondent to receive their applications for grant of auto rickshaw permits and consider the same.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that there is a need for more auto rickshaws on account of the fact that several existing permit holders have stopped their operations and surrendered their permits.

4. In any event, as the petitioners' applications have not been received by the respective second respondent, without going into the merits of the case as projected by the petitioners, the writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respective second respondent to receive the petitioners' applications dated 4.5.2016, consider the same and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date, on which, the applications are presented, along with the copy of this order. No costs.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Transport Commissioner
State Transport Authority
Chepauk, Chennai-600 005

2.The Regional Transport Officer
Meenambakkam, Chennai

3.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai(South) Chennai

4.The Regional Transport officer
Chennai(West) Chennai

5.The Regional Transport officer
Chennai(North) Chennai

6.The Regional Transport Officer
Poonamallee Chennai

7.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai(North-West) Chennai

8.The Regional Transport Officer
Sozhinganallur Chennai

9.The Regional Transport Officer
Chennai(Central) Chennai

+10 ccs to Mr.S.Govindaraman Advocate sr.36417
+1 cc to Government Pleader High Court Madras
sr 36327

WP.Nos.22207 to 22216
of 2016

aa12/07/2016

WEB COPY