

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 04.01.2016

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice K.KALYANASUNDARAM

W.P.No.38439 of 2015

and M.P.No.1 of 2015

K.Raman

...Petitioner

vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu  
rep.by its Secretary to Government,  
Tourism, Culture and Religious,  
Endowments Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.

2.The Commissioner of Arts & Culture,  
Directorate of Art and Culture,  
Halls Road, Egmore,  
Chennai - 8.

3.The Principal,  
Government College of Architecture and  
Sculpture, Mamallapuram,  
Kancheepuram District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issue of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioner and regularise the petitioner's service in the post of Lecturer with all consequential benefits as was given to similarly placed persons namely J.Rajendran and M.Jayasankar in G.O.(Ms) No.267, Tourism, Culture and Religious Endowments (Cul-1-1) Department, dated 29.10.2014 and G.O.(Ms) No.01, Tourism, Culture and Religious Endowments (Cul 1-1) Department dated 02.01.2015 based on the orders of this court dated 04.07.2012 in W.P.No.16533 and 16534 of 2012.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Elanchezhian

For Respondents : Mr.S.Gunasekaran  
Govt. Advocate

O R D E R

By consent, this writ petition is taken up for disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The writ petition has been filed for issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioner and regularise the petitioner's service in the post of Lecturer with all consequential benefits as was given to similarly placed persons namely J.Rajendran and M.Jayasankar.

3. The case of the petitioner is that as per the directions issued by this court in WP Nos.16533 & 16534 of 2012, the first respondent has framed adhoc rules in G.O.(Ms) No.264, Tourism, Culture and Religious Endowments (C1-1) Department dated 24.10.2013. Subsequently, the third respondent has forwarded a letter dated 27.02.2014 to the second respondent seeking some amendments to the adhoc rules who in turn had forwarded the proposal to the first respondent vide letter in Na.Ka.No.4132/A3/2015 dated 02.11.2015. However, the first respondent has not made any amendment so far. Hence, this writ petition.

4. Heard Mr.G.Elanchezhian, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.S.Gunasekaran, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that it would suffice if a direction is issued to the first respondent to consider the proposal made by the second respondent.

6. Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this court directs the first respondent to consider the proposal forwarded by the second respondent vide letter dated 02.11.2015 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the decision taken, to the petitioner.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

sd/-  
Assistant Registrar (Cs-IV)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

rgr

To

1. The Secretary to Government,  
State of Tamil Nadu,  
Tourism, Culture and Religious,  
Endowments Department,  
Secretariat, Chennai - 9.

2. The Commissioner of Arts & Culture,  
Directorate of Art and Culture,  
Halls Road, Egmore,  
Chennai - 8.

3. The Principal,  
Government College of Architecture and  
Sculpture, Mamallapuram,  
Kancheepuram District.

+1 CC to MR.G.Elanchezhian Advocate. SR.NO.291  
+1 CC to Govt.Pleader. SR.NO.254

सत्यमेव जयते

W.P.No.38439 of 2015

CO-CTK  
JD 22/01/2016

WEB COPY