

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.09.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.11901 of 2013

V.Patchapillai

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The General Manager (Administration)-cum-
Information Officer,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai, Chennai.
- 2.The Managing Director,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai, Chennai.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai.
- 4.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Information Commission,
No.2, Sir Thyagaraya Salai,
(Alaiamman Koil near),
Teyampet, Chennai-600 018.

.. Respondents

The writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issue of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioner's representations, dated 28.12.2010 and 23.4.2012 and to direct the fourth respondent to take necessary action for non supply of the information seeking by the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Subramani

For Respondents: Mr.R.P.Pratap Singh, GA for RR1 to 3
Mr.Niranjan Rajagopalan for R-4

ORDER

Heard.

2 This writ petition has been filed, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the

respondents 1 to 3 to consider the petitioner's representations, dated 28.12.2010 and 23.4.2012 and to direct the fourth respondent to take necessary action for non supply of the information sought by the petitioner.

3 At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner had submitted that it would suffice, if this Court is pleased to permit the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the second respondent, with regard to the reliefs sought in the present writ petition, within a specified period and on such representation being made, the second respondent may be directed to dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law, within a specified period.

4 The learned counsels appearing on behalf of the respondents have no objection for such an order being passed by this Court.

5 In view of such submissions made by the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties concerned, this Court finds it appropriate to permit the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the second respondent herein, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such a representation, the second respondent, shall consider and dispose of the same, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks, thereafter. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IV)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vvk

To


1.The General Manager (Administration)-cum-
Information Officer,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai, Chennai.

- 2.The Managing Director,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai, Chennai.
- 3.The Branch Manager,
State Express Transport Corporation,
Pallavan Salai,
Chennai.
- 4.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Information Commission,
No.2, Sir Thyagaraya Salai,
(Alaiamman Koil near),
Teyampet, Chennai-600 018.

1 cc to Mr.N.Subramani, Advocate, sr.53883
1 cc to M/s.G.R.Associates, Advocates, sr.54108

W.P.No.11901 of 2013

rk co
kra 17.10.2016



WEB COPY