

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.10.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T. MATHIVANAN

Civil Revision Petition (PD) No.2811 of 2010

S.Selvi

... Petitioner

VS

1. Tamizhselvi
2. Senthamarai
3. Pazhaniammal
4. Santhi
5. The Commissioner,
Gingee Panchayat Union,
Gingee,
Villupuram Taluk.

... Respondents

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to declare that the declaration made by the 5th respondent as the first respondent has won the election is null and void and consequently declare that the petitioner has won the election for the 7th ward of Gingee panchayat union.

For Petitioner : No appearance
For Respondents : Mr.A.N.Thambidurai - R1
Ms.R.Revathi - R5
No appearance - R2 to 4

T.MATHIVANAN, J.,

vrc

ORDER

When the matter came up for hearing on 23.09.2016, there is no representation for the petitioner. Even today, there is no representation for the petitioner. This Civil Revision is relating to the year 2010. Hence, it is presumed that the petitioner does not have any interest to prosecute this revision petition. Therefore, this Court finds that this revision is deserved to be dismissed.

2. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non prosecution with costs.

03.10.2016

Index:yes/no

Internet:yes

vrc

To

The Commissioner,
Gingee Panchayat Union,
Gingee, Villupuram Taluk.

C.R.P.(PD) No.2811 of 2010