

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:22.06.2016

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN

W.P.No.19935 of 2016

T.MURUGAVEL

..PETITIONER

Vs.

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
DISTRICT COLLECTOR OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
2. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
5. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
6. THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
7. THE ROAD INSPECTOR
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
8. THE OVERSEER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

9. V.ASHOKAN
THE PANCHAYATH PRESIDENT KALLUR PANCHAYAT
KALLAKATTUR POST, KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

..RESPONDENTS

PRAYER:Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issue of writ of mandamus directing the 1st to 8th Respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 09.05.2016 and consequently dispose of the representation within the time frame.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.VENUGOPAL

For R-1 to R-5 : Mr.Rm.Muthukumar,
Govt. Advocate.

O R D E R

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the 9th respondent has give assurance to the petitioner that he would be allowed to continue his work and in case if the 9th respondent does not act upon as per his promise, he seeks leave of this Court to approach this Court on that occasion.

2. With the above representation, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

3. It is not clear as to whether the 9th respondent has made such a promise or not. As contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, if the so called assurance is not acted upon by the 9th respondent, then the petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court.

4. In view of the representation and endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above liberty. No costs.

rgr

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR
DISTRICT COLLECTOR OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
2. THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
4. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
DISTRICT RURAL DEVELOPMENT OFFICE
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
5. THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
6. THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
7. THE ROAD INSPECTOR
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.
8. THE OVERSEER
BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICE SIRUKAVERIPAKKAM
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

+ 1 cc to Mr.R.VENUGOPAL, Advocate Sr 35450

KR/4/7/16

W.P.No.19935 of 2016

WEB COPY