

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 10.06.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE B.RAJENDRAN

W.P.No.19656 of 2016

Dr.A.Duraisingam

.. Petitioner

-Versus-

1. The Director of Collegiate Education, College Road Chennai-600 006.
2. The Joint Director of Collegiate Education Madurai Region Madurai.
3. The Secretary Arul Anandar College Karumathur Madurai 625 514. .. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for a writ of mandamus to direct the first Respondent herein to approve and regularize the petitioner's appointment as Librarian in the third respondent Arul Anandar College, Madurai, in the sanctioned retirement vacancy of Mr.S.M.E.Jeyaseelan with effect from 01.12.2006 to 09.08.2012 in the light of the approval given by the 1st Respondent to the similarly placed person in Na.Ka.No.19837/F2/2007 dated 14.03.2014 and Na.Ka.No.33733/F2/2005 dated 01.09.2014 in W.P.No.17368 of 2005 dated 29.06.2005, W.A. Nos. 140, 811 of 2006 and 809 of 2007, and in SLP. Nos. 19526 to 19528 of 2013 with monetary and service and all other benefits for the said period based on the representation given by the petitioner dated 07.01.2016.

For Petitioner : Mrs.A.V.Bharathi
for Mr.E.Martin Jayakumar

For Respondents : Mrs.M.E.Raniselvam
Additional Government Pleader
for RR1 and 2

O R D E R

By consent, the writ petition is taken up and disposed of at the admission stage itself.

2. This Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking for a mandamus, directing the first respondent herein to approve and regularize his appointment as Librarian in the third respondent College in the sanctioned retirement vacancy of Mr.S.M.E.Jeyaseelan with effect from 01.12.2006 to 09.08.2012 in the light of the approval given by the 1st Respondent to the similarly placed person in Na.Ka.No.19837/F2/2007 dated 14.03.2014 and Na.Ka.No.33733/F2/2005 dated 01.09.2014 in W.P.No.17368 of 2005 dated 29.06.2005, W.A. Nos. 140, 811 of 2006 and 805 of 2007 dated 21.10.2010 and in SLP. Nos. 19526 to 19528 of 2013 with monetary and service and all other benefits for the said period based on the representation given by him dated 07.01.2016.

3.Mrs.M.E.Raniselvam, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 2.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner who is possessing the qualification of M.A., M.Li.Sc., M.Phil., B.Ed., Ph.D was appointed as a Librarian in the third respondent college with effect from 01.12.2006 in the retirement vacancy of one S.M.E.Jeyaseelan. The said post is a sanctioned post, which was approved by the second respondent vide his proceedings dated 21.05.2013. According to the petitioner, there was only one sanctioned post of Librarian in the third respondent college for the year 1999-2000 and following the vacancy that arose on 31.03.2006, he has been appointed with effect from 01.12.2006. According to the petitioner, as per the Tamil Nadu Private Colleges (Regulation) Act, 1976 or in the Tamil Nadu Private Colleges (Regulation) Rules, 1976 no prior permission has to be obtained from the Director of Collegiate Education before filling up a vacant sanctioned post. The grievance of the petitioner is that till date he is working without any salary being paid from the date of his appointment, viz., 01.12.2006 to 09.08.2012. It is his further contention that although he was appointed in the sanctioned post from 01.12.2006, the first respondent ought to have issued the approval order with effect from 01.12.2006, in the light of the approval given by him to the similarly placed person in Na.Ka.No.19837/F2/2007 dated 14.03.2014 and Na.Ka.No.33733/F2/2005 dated 01.09.2014 in W.P.No.17368 of 2005 dated 29.06.2005, W.A. Nos. 140, 811 of 2006 and 805 of 2007 dated 21.10.2010 and in SLP. Nos. 19526 to 19528 of 2013 and to pay him salary with effect from 01.12.2006 with all monetary and service and all other

benefits for the said period by considering his representation dated 07.01.2016.

5. In support of his contention, the learned counsel for the petitioner cited the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.3311 of 2016 dated 22.02.2016 in identical circumstances, wherein this Court has held as follows:

"4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has sent a representation to the first respondent dated 04.11.2015 in regard to regularization of her service from 26.12.2007 and to pay her salary with effect from 26.12.2007. In support of his contention, the learned counsel for the petitioner also placed two reported judgments of this Court namely, W.P.No.664 of 2016 [V.Bena Jothy V. The Director of Collegiate Education, Chennai & Others, CDJ 2016 MHC 551] and in W.P.No.3244 of 2011 [C.Sundara Raj V. The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Chennai & Others, CDJ 2015 MHC 8188]. The learned counsel, also taking aid of the orders passed by this Court, contended that when a teacher is appointed in any sanctioned vacancy, then refusal to approve the said appointment, which is made in the sanctioned post, is contrary to the decisions of this Court dated 10.04.1995 in W.P.No.2093 of 1994 and in another order dated 13.09.1996 in W.P.No.6758 of 1998. Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner, reiterating the ratio, submitted that if any teaching faculty is appointed in any Minority Institution receiving aid from the Government, the Director of Collegiate Education cannot insist Private Aided College Managements to get prior permission to fill up the vacant posts available in the sanctioned posts, by issuing circulars. A perusal of the above ratio clearly shows that the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court has answered clearly that no prior approval is necessary for filling up of any vacant post in any Private College, if it is already a sanctioned post in the same school. It is pertinent to extract the relevant portion of the decision of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in P.Ravichandran V. State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by Secretary to Government, Department of Higher Education, Chennai and others [2013 (7) MLJ 641], which reads as follows:

"20.In the light of the above findings as well as the decisions, we conclude this judgment in the following manner:

1)There is no requirement under the Tamil Nadu Private Colleges (Regulation) Act, 1976 and Tamil Nadu Private Colleges (Regulation) Rules, 1976, to seek prior permission to fill up any vacant post in an aided college, which has already been sanctioned for the academic year by the Director of Collegiate Education under Rule 11(1) of the Rules.

2)If the appointment made by the College Committee in the sanctioned vacant post is in violation of any of the statutory provision, it is open to the Regional Joint Director of Collegiate Education to deny grant-in-aid to the said person appointed in the vacant post.

3)The teaching staff appointed must be fully qualified, whose qualification is approved by the University to which the college is affiliated. Insofar as the non-teaching staff are concerned, the candidate must possess the qualification prescribed by the Government. ..."

5.The learned Additional Government Pleader, also taking instructions from the Director of Collegiate Education (F.A.C.), Chennai, by letter in R.C.No.4594/F2/2016 dated 15.02.2016, submitted that petitioner's representation would be considered in accordance with the Tamil Nadu Private College Regulations and Rules, 1976. He also sought time to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 04.11.2015.

6.On mere perusal of the above observation it clearly shows that the first respondent, while granting approval to the petitioner's appointment, in my considered view, ought to have approved her appointment with effect from 26.12.2007. Since in the present case, the order of approval has been given only from 07.07.2014, this Court hereby directs the first respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 04.11.2015 and regularise the service of the petitioner from 26.12.2007 and to pay the salary and other benefits with effect from 26.12.2007, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

6.The learned Additional Government Pleader submitted that the third respondent has already submitted his report to the first respondent and therefore, he submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 07.01.2016 would be considered in accordance with the Tamil Nadu Private College Regulations and Rules, 1976 and prayed that a time limit may be fixed by this Court.

7.Following the earlier orders passed by this Court as stated supra and in the light of the approval granted by the first respondent in similar such circumstances, this Court is of the view that the first respondent ought to have approved the case of the petitioner from the date of his appointment, viz., 01.12.2006 and paid his salary with all other benefits.

8. In view of the above, the first respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 07.01.2016 by regularizing his service from the date of his appointment, viz., 01.12.2006 till 09.08.2012 and to pay his salary and other applicable benefits for the said period, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.
vj2

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Director of Collegiate Education, College Road Chennai-600 006.
2. The Joint Director of Collegiate Education Madurai Region, Madurai.
3. The Secretary
Arul Anandar College
Karumathur, Madurai 625 514.

+ 1 cc to Mr.E.Martin Jayakumar, Advocate Sr 31657

KR/30/6/16

W.P.No.19656 of 2016