

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.07.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

W.P.No.28454 of 2015

Lagumamma

..Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

2.The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-
Sub Collector, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

5.C.Srinivasulu

..Respondents

The writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issue of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 24.08.2015.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Jayaprakash

For Respondents : Mr.P.Karthikeyan, Govt.Advocate
for RR1 to 4
Mr.G.M.Ananthakumar for R-5

ORDER

Heard.

2 This writ petition has been filed, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the third respondent to consider and pass orders, on the representation of the petitioner, dated 24.8.2015.

3 It has been stated that the representation of the petitioner, dated 24.8.2015, relates to the cancellation of the pattas issued to various persons, in respect of the land, in S.No.654/4A, at Mookkondappalli Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

4 At this stage of the hearing of the writ petition, the learned counsel appearing for the fifth respondent had submitted that the petitioner has filed a civil suit, on the file of the District Munsif Court, Hosur, in O.S.No.9 of 2013, praying for a decree of declaration of title and for permanent injunction. This Court had passed an order, dated 4.10.2013, in W.P.No.21968 of 2013, directing the District Munsif, Hosur, to dispose of the suit, filed by the petitioner, in O.S.No.9 of 2013. While so, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition before this Court. The writ petition filed by the petitioner is devoid of merits and premature in nature and the same is liable to be dismissed, in limine.

5 Accepting the said submission made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the fifth respondent, this Court is of the view that the writ petition is not maintainable, at this stage. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. However, it goes without saying that it may be open to the petitioner to seek her remedies, if any, before the appropriate civil court, if so advised.
vvk

Sd/-
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
- 2.The District Revenue Officer,
Krishnagiri District,
Krishnagiri.
- 3.The Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-
Sub Collector, Hosur,
Krishnagiri District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Hosur Taluk,
Krishnagiri District.

+ 1 cc to Mr.R.Jayaprakash, Advocate Sr 40671

+ 1 cc to Mr.G.M.Ananthakumar, Advocate Sr 40583

+ 1 cc to The Govt.Pleader, Sr 40862

KR/28/7/16

W.P.No.28454 of 2015



WEB COPY