

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.06.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.RAJENDRAN

WP.No. 19123/2016

- 1.B.Usha Rani
- 2.D.Vijaya
- 3.Radha
- 4.S.Shanthi
- 5.G.Andaal
- 6.K.Varalakshmi
- 7.S.Maheswari
- 8.S.Rukmani
- 9.H.Farithuneesa
- 10.K.Vijayalakshmi
- 11.S.Vanaja
- 12.K.Kousalya
- 13.P.Charlesdevi
- 14.P.Jayalakshmi
- 15.P.Senthamarai
- 16.S.Susheela
- 17.S.Amudha
- 18.A.Senthamizh Selvi
- 19.A.Shantha Nayagi
- 20.V.Lakshmi Prabha
- 21.Pushpavalli
- 22.P.Sulochana

..Petitioners

Vs

- 1.Government of Tamil Nadu
rep. by its Secretary
Home (Police-II) Department
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

- 2.The Director General of Police
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai
Mylapore, Chennai-600 004.

..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for promotion as Women Inspector of Police in the light of the representation made by them on 14.03.2016, within a time to be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioners :Mr.B.Gurumurthy

For Respondents :Mr.P.Chinnadurai
Government Advocate

O R D E R

By consent, the Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that their claim for promotion as Women Inspector of Police was not properly considered. Hence, they made a detailed representation to the respondents, on 14.03.2016. Since, no order is passed by the respondents, the petitioners have filed the present Writ Petition.

3. Mr.B.Gurumurthy, the learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners would be satisfied, if the representation of the petitioners, dated 14.03.2016, is directed to be considered by the 2nd respondent and appropriate orders are passed.

4. Mr.P.Chinnadurai, the learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the respondents, would submit that he has got no objection in directing the 2nd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioners, dated 14.03.2016 and to pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a time frame.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and also the limited scope of prayer sought for by the petitioners, this Court, without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioners, directs the 2nd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioners, dated 14.03.2016 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of ten weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with regard to the merits of the claim made by the petitioners.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To


1.The Secretary, Government of Tamil Nadu,
Home (Police-II) Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The Director General of Police,
Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai,
Mylapore,
Chennai-600 004.

+lcc to the Government Pleader Sr.30539

W.P.No.19123/2016

pa[co]
srg 17/06/2016



WEB COPY