

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 02.03.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE P.R.SHIVAKUMAR

C.R.P.[PD] No.1838 of 2009

G.Senthilkumar

... Petitioner

Vs

1.Madhappan
2.Raniammal
3.Raja
4.Sankar
5.Yasodha
6.Veerammal

... Respondents

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the judgment and decree dated 30.01.2009 made in C.M.A.No.14 of 2006 passed by the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Krishnagiri confirming the fair and decreetal order dated 13.11.2006 made in I.A.No.136 of 2006 in O.S.No.29 of 2006 passed by the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate of Pochampalli.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : No appearance

ORDER

The counsel on record submits that the party has taken back the bundle and instructed him not to represent him in the Civil Revision Petition. A memo to that effect has also been filed. The petitioner who has chosen to get back the bundle and gave such instructions to the counsel, ought to have made arrangements for his

representation.

2. Since the petitioner has not chosen to enter appearance either in-person or through another counsel to proceed with the case, this Court deems it appropriate to dismiss the Civil Revision Petition for non-prosecution. Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

02.03.2016

Index: Yes/No
Internet: yes/No
gya

To
The Principal Subordinate Judge,
Krishnagiri.

P.R.SHIVAKUMAR, J.

gya

C.R.P.[PD] No.1838 of 2009

02.03.2016