

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: **12.04.2016**

Coram:

THE HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE M.VENUGOPAL

Crl.M.P.No.4292 of 2016 in
Crl.R.C.No.SR55207 of 2015

Lizy,
Proprietor of
M/s Monisha Clinic

..Petitioner

Vs.

The Drugs Inspector,
Thiruvottiyur Range

...Respondent

Prayer: Petition filed under Order IV Rule 9(4) A.S.Rules to condone the delay of 99 days in filing the Criminal Revision Petition.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sathish

For Respondent : Mr.V.Arul
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

Heard the Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and the Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the Respondent. No counter is filed on behalf of the Respondent.

2. It comes to be known that the Registry had returned the relevant papers of the Criminal Revision Petition on the point of Limitation and in complying with the return and representing the same, there has occasioned a delay of 99 days, which is neither wilful nor wanton, but due to the aforesaid reason.

3. It is to be noted that the delay in representation is a matter between the Petitioner and the Court of Law. The Respondent/ Complainant has no say in the matter. As such, this Court by taking a lenient and liberal view allows the Miscellaneous Petition.

In the result, the Miscellaneous Petition No.4292 of 2016 in Crl.R.C.Sr.No.55207 of 2015 is allowed.

12.04.2016

Index:Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No

ssd

M.VENUGOPAL, J.

ssd

Crl.M.P.No.4292 of 2016 in
Crl.R.C.No.SR55207 of 2015

12.04.2016