

Crl.O.P.No.16072 of 2016

S.VAIDYANATHAN, J.

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.03.2015 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 392 and 398 IPC in Crime No.368 of 2014 on the file of the respondent police, **seeks bail.**

2. The petitioner is arrayed as A6 in this case. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant and his brother were returning after closing their jewellery shop, the petitioner along with other accused way-laid the defacto complainant in a van and snatched gold jewels from them by pouring chilli powder on the face of the defacto complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has not committed any such offence and only on the basis of confession of the co-accused, the petitioner has been impleaded in this case and that he is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. He further submitted that he is in custody for more than one year and four months and that he may be released on bail.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that the case is pending in S.C.No.72 of 2016 before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tripur and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he will abscond and the case could

S.VAIDYANATHAN, J.

vrc

not be disposed of and that the petitioner is involved in two previous cases.

Hence, vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking note of the fact that heinous crime has been committed by the petitioner and that he is involved in two previous cases of similar nature, this Court is not inclined to grant the relief sought by the petitioner and the petition is dismissed. As the case pending in S.C.No.71 of 2016, the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirupur, is directed to conduct the proceedings on a day-to-day basis, without adjourning the case beyond four working days at any point of time and dispose of the case, as early as possible.

02.08.2016

vrc

Crl.O.P.No.16072 of 2016