

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.T. SELVAM

CrI.R.C.No.1374 of 2016 &
CrI.M.P.Nos.12145 & 12146 of 2016

1. K.R.Venkatesh
President,
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri
Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,
113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.
2. T.K.Madhavan
Vice President
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri
Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,
113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.
3. E.S.Kanna
Secretary,
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri
Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,
113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.
4. A.H.Sounder
Assistant Secretary,
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri
Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,
113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.
5. P.V.Chandrapal
Treasurer,
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri
Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,
113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.
6. V.H.Jagadeesh
7. T.S.Krishnamoorthi
8. K.T.Srinivasan
9. M.R.Nagarajan

10. N.Rangachari

Petitioners 6 to 10 are the
Board of Trustees of the
Sri Rukmani Sametha Sri

Panduranganatha Swami Devasthanam,

113, Manickam Street, Sevapet, Salem - 2.

.. Petitioners

vs.

Salem Shevapet Sourashtra Maruthi Association,
Represented by its Secretary,

Achu Raman Street,

Shevapet, Salem - 2.

.. Respondent

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397 and 401 Cr.P.C.
against the order of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Salem dated 26.08.2010 in C.M.P.No.3901 of 1015 in C.C.No.6 of
2015 and to set aside the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.V.Jayganesan
for Mr.V.Raghavachari

O R D E R

This revision challenges the order of learned Judicial
Magistrate No.III, Salem passed in C.M.P.No.3901 of 2015 in
C.C.No.6 of 2015 on 26.08.2010.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that in
dismissing C.M.P.No.3901 of 2015, petition seeking discharge,
the court below observed that there is no provision for
discharge in respect of summary proceedings and has also
discussed merits of the case and informed that it would be
necessary for petitioners to face the trial.

3. On a reading of order under challenge, we find that
such order can only be understood as informing that the
petitioner would have to raise defences informed by him at the
trial. The order under challenge cannot and will not stand in
the way of petitioner taking recourse to such avenues as
available to him in law.

4. With the above observation, this Criminal Revision Petition shall stand dismissed. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
ASST. REGISTRAR

/TRUE COPY/


SUB ASST. REGISTRAR

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Salem.

Cr1.R.C.No.1374 of 2016

MMP 28.12.2016



WEB COPY