

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.11.2016

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.T. SELVAM

Crl.R.C.No.1114 of 2016

S.Rajinikanth

.. Petitioner

vs.

1.Pachai
2.Muniyammal
3.Vijayakumar
4.Raman

.. Respondents

Criminal Revision filed under Sections 397 and 401 Cr.P.C. praying to set aside the order of learned Judicial Magistrate, Tirukoilur, passed in Crl.M.P.No.297 of 2016 on 12.04.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.H.Rajesh
For Respondent : Mr.N.Suresh

ORDER

This revision is preferred against the order of learned Judicial Magistrate, Tirukoilur, passed in Crl.M.P.No.297 of 2016 on 12.04.2016. By way of the order under challenge, a complaint of offence u/s. 499 I.P.C was dismissed.

2. The allegation in the private complaint is that the accused persons falsely contended that the Tirukoilur Bar Association has passed a resolution to the effect that no advocate shall appear on their behalf in the civil proceedings in O.S. No. 155/12 on the file of Principal District Munsif, Thirukovilur and thereby defamed the complainant advocate association. The librarian of the advocates association is a party in the said suit. Besides observing that any association or persons should not be overly sensitive, this Court would dismiss the revision also for the reason that neither the association rules or byelaws have been produced nor is the complaint supported by any resolution of the concerned

association permitting the complainant/alleged president to prefer the complaint.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1 The Judicial Magistrate, Tirukoilur
2 do thro Chief Judicial Magistrate, Villupuram

+1cc to Mr.H. Rajesh, Advocate, S.R.No.70339
+1 cc to Mr. N. Suresh, Advocate Sr.No.70818

ala(CO)
md(08/02/2017)

Cr1.R.C.No.1114 of 2016



WEB COPY