

O.A.No.47 of 2015
and
Application No.3432 of 2015

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J.

1. Mr.Elayaraja, Advocate, who appears for the applicant says that these applications be closed, in view of the fact that the matter is pending before the Arbitrator.

2. I am informed, as of today, there is no interim order in favour of the applicant. The subject property, has in fact been handed over to the respondent.

3. Counsel for the respondent has no objection, to the prayer made, to close the applications.

4. It is accordingly ordered.

Application No.986 of 2015

5. This application is moved by the original respondent for vacation of interim order, dated 12.01.2015.

6. Mr.Prasad Vijayakumar, counsel for the applicant/original respondent says, that this application is rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that his client has been handed over possession of the subject property, pursuant to the order passed by this Court dated 30.04.2015.

7. Counsel for the respondent/original applicant does not oppose the prayer made.

8. Accordingly, the application stands closed.

16.08.2016

vj2

O.A.No.47 of 2015
and
Application No.3432 of 2015
and
Application No.986 of 2015