

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.08.2016

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.CHOCKALINGAM

Crl.R.C.No.1198 of 2008
and M.P.No.1 of 2008

Chinnasamy

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police
Kodumudi Police Station,
Kodumudi, Erode District,
Cr.No.32 of 2006

...Respondent

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For respondent : Mrs.M.F.Shabana, Govt. Adv. (Crl.side)

O R D E R

Today, when the revision is taken up for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner is printed in the cause list. Mr.T.Muruganantham, learned counsel representing on behalf of the petitioner submits that he is going to appear on behalf of the petitioner, but he has no valid vakalat in his hand to file and to appear on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the criminal revision petition is dismissed for non prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

tsh

To:

1. The Inspector of Police
Kodumudi Police Station,
Kodumudi, Erode District,


2. The Additional District Judge,
FTC NO.I, Erode,
(Crl.A.No.60/2008)

3. The District Munsif Cum Judicial
Magistrate,
Erode (CC No.59/2006)

4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

Crl.R.C.Nos.1198 of 2008

lrs co
kra 02.09.2016



WEB COPY