

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.07.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

CRL.OP.No.14231 of 2016

E.Velu @ Shankar

... Petitioner

Vs

1.D.Lakshmi

2.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tambaram, Chennai.

... Respondents

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., praying to direct the 2nd respondent police not to harass the petitioner.

For Petitioner : No Appearance

For 2nd Respondent : Mr.C.Emalias,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

This petition has been filed to direct the 2nd respondent not to harass the petitioner.

2. May be on account of boycott of Courts, there is no representation on the side of the petitioner. However, in Harish Uppal [Ex.Capt.] Vs Union of India reported in [2003] 2 SCC 45, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that boycott of Courts is illegal and therefore, this Court went through the records and passed orders, on hearing the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

3. It is represented by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that, on the complaint lodged by Lakshmi against this petitioner, petition enquiry is pending before the 2nd respondent-police.

4. In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to co-operate with the police for enquiry and at the time of conducting enquiry, the petitioner shall not be harassed. During the course of enquiry, if any cognizable offence is

made out, the 2nd respondent police is free to register an FIR and this order shall not be a shield for the petitioner against arrest.

With the above direction, this petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gya

To


1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tambaram, Chennai.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.P.K.Shanthi Rubini, Advocate, S.R.No.38487

CRL.OP.No.14231 of 2016

LRS(CO)
CA(01/08/2016)



WEB COPY