CRL.O.P.No.13653 of 2016

S.VAIDYANATHAN,J.

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 419, 384, 511 and 506(i) IPC in Crime No.485 of 2016 on the file of the respondent police, the petitioner has come forward with this petition seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused are alleged to have quarrelled with the inmates of the TASMAC shop and threatened them.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and a false complaint has been foisted against him. He further submitted that the A1 in this case has already been arrested.

5. Learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that investigation is pending in this case and hence he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the fact that A1 in this case has been arrested and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required in this case at this stage, **this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the**

petitioner.

S. VAIDYANATHAN, J.

vrc

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the **VI Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai, subject to the following conditions:**

(i) the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties,** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned;

(ii) **the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.**

(iii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iv) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[v] on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].**

05.07.2016

vrc

CRL.O.P.NO.13653 of 2016