

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09-09-2016

CORAM

THE Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE M. DURAISWAMY

Contempt Petition Nos.1897, 1898, 1899 and 1900 of 2016
and
Sub.Appln.Nos.475, 476, 477 and 478 of 2016

S. Govindaraj ... Petitioner in Cont.Petn.No.1897/2016
S. Ranugadevi ... Petitioner in Cont.Petn.No.1898/2016
G. Kalaiselvi ... Petitioner in Cont.Petn.No.1899/2016
M. Shenbakavalli ... Petitioner in Cont.Petn.No.1900/2016

vs

Aboorva Varma Kishore Kumar
The Tamil Nadu State Marketing Corporation
limited (TASMAC Ltd),
rep by its Chairman,
Secretariat,
Fort.St. George,
Chennai - 600 009

..... Respondent in all the Contempt
Petitions

Contempt Petitions filed under Section 11 of Contempt
of Court Act to punish the respondent for his act of wilful
disobedience of the order passed in W..Nos.10489, 10490,
10245 and 10246 of 2016 dated 24.03.2016.

For petitioners : Mr.S. Doraisamy
For respondent : Mr.B. Nedunchezian

COMMON ORDER

Heard both sides.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Mr.B. Nedunzhezhian, learned Standing Counsel, appearing for the respondent, submitted that the respondent had considered the petitioners representations and passed order on 22.08.2016. The learned Standing Counsel also produced a copy of the order passed by the respondent on 22.08.2016.

3. Mr.S. Doraisamy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submitted that the petitioner in Contempt Petition No.1897 of 2016 alone is aggrieved over the order passed by the respondent and liberty may be given to the petitioner in Contempt Petition No.1897 of 2016 to challenge the order passed by the respondent, in accordance with law.

4. It is brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioners in other Contempt Petitions have received the cheques from the respondent.

5. In view of the submissions, made by the learned counsel on either side and taking note of the fact that the


respondent had passed the order on 22.08.2016, pursuant to the orders of this Court in the Writ Petitions in W.P.Nos. 10489, 10490, 10245 and 10246 of 2016, all the Contempt Petitions are closed. It is open to the petitioner in Contempt Petition No.1897 of 2016 to challenge the order dated 22.08.2016, passed by the respondent, if he is aggrieved over the same, in accordance with law.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that all the Sub Applications can be closed. In view of the same, the Sub Applications Nos.475, 476, 477 and 478 of 2016 are closed.

sr

SD/
JOINT REGISTRAR (OS)

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the  day of 2016.

COURT OFFICER(O.S.)
From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

SS/CO/26/09/2016

One CC to Mr.S.Duraisamy, Advocate, SR.11553/2016
One CC to Mr.B.Nedunchezhiyan, Advocate, SR.10796/2016