

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATE: 16-09-2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

Writ Petition No.31991 of 2014
and

M.P.No.1 of 2014 and W.M.P.No.23872 of 2016

M.P. Vallimayiel

.. Petitioner

Vs

1.Government of Tamil Nadu
rep. By its Secretary
Personnel & Administrative Department
Fort. St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The Director
Institute of Road Transport
Taramani
Chennai-113.

3.The Managing Director
Metropolitan Transport Corporation
Pallavan Illam
Anna Salai, Chennai-2.

4.Director of Training, Board of Apprenticeship Training
Southern Region
4th Cross Road
CIT Campus, Taramani
Chennai-113.

... Respondents

Prayer : The writ petition is filed to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent MTC herein to appoint the petitioner as Junior Engineer Trainee and impart training to the petitioner for the post of Junior Engineer under the 33% reservation for women category.

For Petitioner : Mr.R. Muthukumaraswamy
Senior Counsel for
M/s.A. Jenasanan
For Respondent No.1: Mr.P.Sanjai Gandhi
Addl. Govt. Pleader
For Respondent No.2: Ms. Kala Ramesh
For Respondent No.3: Mr.P. Paramasivadosh
For Respondent No.4: No appearance

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner, as well as the learned counsels, appearing on behalf of the respondents 1, 2, and 3. Even though, court notice had been served on the fourth respondent and his name is printed in the cause list, there is no appearance on behalf of the fourth respondent.

2. This writ petition has been filed, praying that this Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the third respondent Metropolitan Transport Corporation to appoint the petitioner as a Junior Engineer (Trainee) and impart training, to the petitioner, for the post of Junior Engineer, under the 33% reservation for the women category.

3. Even though the prayer of the petitioner in the present writ petition is for a larger relief, the learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioner had submitted that it would suffice, if the fourth respondent is directed to send the petitioner for Apprenticeship Training.

4. It has been further stated that the petitioner is fully qualified for the said training and the petitioner had registered her application, for the said training, through online, on 25.6.2013. However, there has been no response from the fourth respondent. Hence, the petitioner has preferred the present writ petition, before this Court, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. In such circumstances, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the fourth respondent to send the petitioner for Apprenticeship Training, if she is found to be qualified for the same, and if there are no legal impediments, for giving her such training, as per the provisions of the Apprentices Act, 1961, along with the other candidates of the next batch.

The writ petition is disposed of, with the above directions.
No costs. Consequently, connected M.Ps. are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Secretary to
Government of Tamil Nadu
Personnel & Administrative Department
Fort. St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The Director
Institute of Road Transport
Taramani
Chennai-113.

3.The Managing Director
Metropolitan Transport Corporation
Pallavan Illam
Anna Salai, Chennai-2.

4.Director of Training, Board of Apprenticeship Training
Southern Region
4th Cross Road
CIT Campus, Taramani
Chennai-113.

+1cc to the Government Pleader Sr.52806
+1cc to Mr.P.Paramasivadoss, Advocate Sr.52821
+1cc o Mrs.Kala Ramesh, Advocate Sr.52764
+3cc to Mr.A.Jenasenan, Advocate Sr.53012

Writ Petition No.31991 of 2014
and
M.P.No.1 of 2014 and W.M.P.No.23872 of 2016

srg 22/10/2016