

Application No.3718 of 2016 in O.P. No.436 of 2012

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE

The Arbitrator has been appointed by the order dated 08.07.2014 in the presence of both counsels. Now, it is sought to canvass that since this is the Arbitrator who was suggested by the petitioner and had not been agreed to earlier by the respondent, he ought not to have been appointed as the Arbitrator.

2.I find no merit in this contention for the reason that the particular Arbitrator has been appointed in the presence of the parties two years ago. I am informed that the arbitration proceedings commenced and ended with ex parte award dated 21.02.2015. The respondent has kept silent over all these time. This application was initially filed and kept pending for two years and objections removed only in July 2016.

3.For all the aforesaid reasons, there is no ground made out to entertain the application.

4.Application is dismissed.

[S.K.K., CJ.]
05th Aug., 2016

sra

The Hon'ble Chief Justice

(sra)

Application No.3718 of 2016
in O.P. No.436 of 2012

05.08.2016