

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Date: 26.10.2016

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE THIRU JUSTICE **V. PARTHIBAN**

C.R.P.(PD)No.2339 of 2013 &
M.P.No.1 of 2013

Munusamy

.... Petitioner

vs

Unnamalai

.... Respondent

Civil Revision Petitions filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order, dated 3.4.2013 passed by the Sub Judge, Dharmapuri in I.A.No.275 of 2012 in O.S.No.162 of 2010.

For petitioner : Mr.Harinath Baabu for
Mr.Karthikeyan
For respondents : No appearance

ORDER

When this Civil Revision Petitions is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the Revision Petition has become infructuous since the matter has been settled between the parties before the Lok Adalath and he has also made an endorsement to that effect.

V. PARTHIBAN,J.,

suk

Recording the same, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected MP is closed.

suk

26.10.2016

CRP(PD) 2339 of 2013

<http://www.judis.nic.in>