

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 18.01.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

Cont.P.No.1924 of 2015

Sri Krishna Lorry Service
 Fleet Owners and Transport Contractors,
 Represented by its Proprietor,
 Mohan .. Petitioner

Vs.

1.Shri Anuj Kumar Bishnol,
 The Government of India,
 Secretary, Department of Fertilizers,
 Room No.22, A Wing,
 Sasthri Bhavan, New Delhi.

2.K.V.Chowdry,
 The Central Vigilance Commission,
 Government of India,
 Saterkata Bhavan,
 CPO Complex, Block A, INA,
 New Delhi-110 023.

3.J.Paul Premkumar,
 The General Manager,
 Marketing & Distribution,
 M/s.Madras Fertilizer Ltd.,
 Manali, Chennai-600 068.

4.I.Vijayakumar,
 The Chairman and Managing Director,
 M/s.Madras Fertilizer Ltd.,
 Manali, Chennai-600 068.

5.N.Muralikrishna,
 The Chief Vigilance Officer,
 M/s.Madras Fertilizer Ltd.,
 Manali, Chennai-600 068.

6.K.Dhamodharan,
 SKLS Lorry Service,
 No.17/30, Pulli Line Village,
 Bypass Road,
 Redhills, Chennai-600 052.

.. Respondents

Prayer:- Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, seeking to punish the respondents for contempt of Court for disobeying the order of this Court in W.P.No.23211 of 2015 dated 29.07.2015 to secure the ends of justice.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Sankarasubbu

For Respondents : Mr.M.Arvind Kumar for R1 and R2

O R D E R

This Court, vide order dated 29.07.2015 made in W.P.No.23211 of 2015, taking into consideration the limited scope of prayer, has directed the first respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 27.07.2015, after putting the sixth respondent on notice, within a stipulated time frame and also noted that it has not gone into the merits of the matter. The petitioner, alleging contempt of the said order, has come forward with this petition.

2. Heard the submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, who, on instructions would submit that vide order dated 29.07.2015, the contract in favour of the petitioner was extended by three months and it was extended for a further period of nine months from 29.10.2015, which expires on 28.07.2016. The said submission of the learned counsel appearing for the

respondents 1 and 2, on instructions, is placed on record.

3. In the light of the same, no further adjudication is necessary in this contempt petition. Hence, this Contempt Petition is closed. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved by any future act/order of the respondents adverse to his interest, it may challenge the same strictly in accordance with law.

jvm

SD/-

DEPUTY REGISTRAR (CLAA)

//Certified to be true copy//

Dated at Madras this the _____ day of _____ 2016.

COURT OFFICER (O.S.)

From 25th Day of September 2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Orders/Judgments/Decrees in this format.

SS/CO/04/02/2016

One CC to Mr.R.Sankarasubbu, Advocate, Sr.No.662/16

Two Ccs to Mr.Aravind Kumar, Advocate, Sr.No.865/16

To

1. Shri Anuj Kumar Bishnoi,
The Government of India,
Secretary, Department of Fertilizers,
Room No.22, A Wing, Sasthri Bhavan, New Delhi.

2. K.V.Chowdry,
The Central Vigilance Commission,
Government of India,
Saterkata Bhavan,

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
CPO Complex, Block A, INA,
New Delhi-110 023.